SECTION 1: GENERAL PRINCIPLES

General-purpose local government performs the dominant role in the planning, development, conservation, and environmental review processes. Within this context, it is essential that the appropriate levels of responsibility at the various levels of government be understood and more clearly defined. These roles at the state, regional, county, and city level contain elements of mutual concern; however, the level of jurisdiction, the scale of the problem/issue, available funding and the beneficiaries of the effort require distinct and separate treatment.

The following policies attempt to capture these distinctions and are intended to assist government at all levels to identify its role, pick up its share of the responsibility, and refrain from interfering with the details of how other agencies carry out their responsibility.

The housing needs throughout the state, lack of revenue, and controversial planning law in the area of housing have resulted in the need for new focus on housing planning law. Housing principles are identified and included under a separate heading in this section.

Counties are charged with comprehensive planning for future growth, the management of natural resources, and the provision of a variety of public services both within the unincorporated and incorporated areas.

Although Agriculture and Natural Resources are in this Platform as a separate chapter, there is a correlation between Planning and Land Use, and Agriculture and Natural Resources (Chapter Three). These two chapters are to be viewed together on matters where the subject matter warrants.

Additionally, climate change and the release of greenhouse gases (GHGs) into the atmosphere have the potential to dramatically impact our environment, land use, public health, and our economy. Due to relationship between climate change and other issues, this chapter also should be viewed in conjunction with Chapter Fourteen that outlines CSAC’s climate change policy.

1) Counties have and must retain a primary responsibility for basic land use decisions.

2) Counties are cognizant of the need for resource conservation and development, maintaining our economic and social well being, protecting the environment, and guiding orderly population growth and property development.
3) Counties are responsible for preparing plans and implementing programs to address land use, transportation, housing, open space, conservation, air quality, water supply/distribution and quality, solid waste, and liquid waste, among other issues.

4) Counties play a major role in facilitating inter-jurisdictional cooperation between all levels of government to achieve the balanced attainment of these objectives.

5) Counties must have sufficient funding from state sources to meet state mandated planning programs.

6) Counties define local planning needs based on local conditions and constraints.

SECTION 2: THE COUNTY ROLE IN LAND USE

General Plans and Development
Counties should protect vital resources and sensitive environments from overuse and exploitation. General and specific plans are policy documents that are adopted, administered, and implemented at the local level. State guidelines can serve as standards to ensure uniformity of method and procedure, but should not mandate substantive or policy content. Land use and development problems and their solutions differ from one area to another and require careful analysis, evaluation, and appraisal at the local government level. Local government is the best level of government to solve such problems equitably, economically and effectively. Further, it is important that other public agencies, (e.g., federal, state, regional, cities, schools, or special districts) participate in the local general planning process to avoid conflicts with future local decisions that are consistent with the general plan.

1) State requirements for general plan adoption should be limited to major planning issues and general plan mandates should include the preparation of planning elements only when appropriate given the specific characteristics, goals, and needs of each county.

2) Zoning and other implementation techniques should be a logical consequence to well considered and locally certified plans.

3) Counties support a general plan judicial review process which first requires exhaustion of remedies before the Board of Supervisors, with judicial review confined to a reasonable statute of limitations and limited to matters directly related to the initial hearing record. Counties also support retaining the current judicial standard whereby the courts defer to the judgment of the local agency when that judgment is supported by substantial evidence in the record.

4) Policy development and implementation should include meaningful public participation, full disclosure, and wide dissemination in advance of adoption.
Public Facilities and Service

Counties have a vital role in ensuring that municipal services and public facilities are provided to residents in the unincorporated area in an efficient manner.

1) Within the framework of the general plan, counties should protect the integrity and efficiency of newly developing unincorporated areas and urban cores by prohibiting fringe area development, which would require services and compete with existing infrastructure.

2) Counties should accept responsibility for community services in newly developing unincorporated areas where no other appropriate entity exists.

3) In the absence of feasible incorporation, County Service Areas or Community Service Districts are appropriate entities to provide needed services for urbanizing areas. They work against proliferation of single purpose districts, allow counties to charge the actual user for the service, permit direct control by the Board of Supervisors, and set the basis of reformation of multi-purpose districts.

4) County authority to require land and/or in-lieu fees to provide public facilities in the amount needed to serve new development must be protected.

Environmental Analysis

The environmental review process under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) provides essential information to be constructively used in local decision-making processes. Unfortunately, the CEQA process is too often used as a legal tool to delay or stop reasonable development projects.

The CEQA process and requirements should be simplified wherever possible including the preparation of master environmental documents and use of tiered environmental impact reports (EIRs) and negative declarations, including Climate Action Plans, and associated environmental impact reports for tiering under CEQA. The state should adopt statutory exemptions from environmental review for certain projects that align with Housing, Transportation Climate and Resiliency Goals the goals of counties of the state.

1) The length of environmental reports should be minimized without impairing the quality of these reports.

2) Other public agencies (federal, state, regional, affected local jurisdictions, special districts, etc.) should participate in the environmental review process for plans and projects to provide a thorough review and analysis up front and avoid conflicts in future discretionary actions.
3) Counties should continue to assume lead agency roles where projects are proposed in unincorporated territory requiring discretionary action by the county and other jurisdictions.

4) CEQA documents should include economic and social data when applicable; however, this data should not be made mandatory.

**Coastal Development**

Preservation, protection, and enhancement of the California coastline is the planning responsibility of each county and city with shoreline within its boundaries. Planning regulation and control of land use are the implementation tools of county government whenever a resource is used or threatened.

Counties within the Coastal Zone are also subject to the California Coastal Act which is implemented via cooperative agreements between the California Coastal Commission and counties and cities. Most development in the Coastal Zone requires a coastal development permit issued by local agencies with a certified Local Coastal Plan (LCP) or by the Commission in the absence of a cooperative agreement. LCPs link statewide coastal policies to local planning efforts with the goal of protecting the quality and environment of California’s coastline.

1) Counties are committed to preserve and provide access to the coast and support where appropriate beach activities, boating activities, and other recreational uses in developing and implementing precise coastal plans and appropriate zoning.

2) Comprehensive coastal plans should also include preservation of open space, development of commercial and recreational small craft harbor facilities, accessible camping facilities, quality water access, and commercial and industrial uses.

3) Local jurisdictions must have the statutory and legal authority to implement coastline programs. Statewide efforts related to the California coastline must respect local land use authority. The state should collaboratively and cooperatively work with counties and cities to ensure decisions do not erode local control and decision-making.

4) The State, counties, and cities should mutually encourage, seek, and support efforts to streamline, improve, and modernize coastal development permit and local coastal planning processes, without compromising or undermining the original intent and tenets of these laws.

5) Counties support measures to streamline the process for approving and amending Local Coastal Programs (LCPs).

   a. Measures should re-prioritize Commission staff and resources to the early scoping phase of any proposed amendment, to help identify key issues early on.
b. Measures should identify standard timelines for each stage of the amendment process and develop specific procedures/mechanisms for adhering to those timelines, and should also require clearly identified reasons for any extensions requested by Commission staff.

6) The California Coastal Commission should work with local jurisdictions with regard to the sea level rise within Local Coastal Programs Planning Assistance (LCPA) guidelines.

7) Counties support legislative funding options that will enhance efficiency and accountability in the local coastal planning process.

**Open Space Lands**

Counties support open space policy that sets forth the local government’s intent to preserve open space lands and ensures that local government will be responsible for conserving natural resources and developing and implementing open space plans and programs. Counties need state policies and fiscal resources to fully implement open space plans.

1) Counties support additional revenues for local open space acquisition programs, such as the subvention funds formerly provided by the Williamson Act.

2) Counties support reimbursement to local agencies for property tax losses.

3) Counties support greater use of land exchange powers for transfer of development rights.

4) Counties support protection of current agricultural production lands through the purchasing of development rights.

5) In some cases, open space easements should be created and used by local jurisdictions to implement open space programs, like the Williamson Act program.

6) Timber preserve zones and timber harvesting rules should enhance protection of this long-term renewable resource.

**Healthy Communities**

Counties support policies and programs that aid in the development of healthy communities which are designed to provide opportunities for people of all ages and abilities to engage in routine daily physical activity.

1) Counties support promoting active living via bicycle- and pedestrian-oriented design.

2) Counties support mixed-use development, providing recreation facilities, and siting schools in walkable communities.
Environmental Justice

Environmental justice is the fair treatment of people of all races, cultures, and incomes with respect to the development, adoption, implementation, and enforcement of environmental laws, regulations, and policies.

1) Counties support policies and programs that ensure environmental justice by providing information and raising awareness on a number of environmental issues, such as air quality, greenhouse gas emissions, water quality, noise, and heavy industrial uses.

2) Counties support environmental justice by providing sufficient services and infrastructure; protecting and conserving open space, natural and resource areas, and making them accessible; preventing and minimizing pollution impacts.

3) Counties support environmental justice by facilitating stakeholder participation in planning efforts.

SECTION 3: STATE ROLE IN LAND USE

Local government recognizes that state government has a legitimate interest in proper land use planning and utilization of those lands which are of critical statewide concern.

1) The state interest shall be statutorily and precisely defined and strictly limited to those lands designated to be critical statewide concern in concert with attainable and specified state goals and policies.

2) In determining those lands of crucial statewide concern, a mechanism should be created which ensures significant local involvement through a meaningful state/local relationship.

3) The state should prepare a statewide plan that reconciles the conflicts between the various state plans and objectives to provide local governments with greater certainty in areas of statewide concern. This is not intended to expand the State’s authority over land use decisions; rather it should clarify the state’s intent in relation to capital projects of statewide significance.

4) The state’s participation in land use decisions in those designated areas shall be strictly limited to ensuring the defined state interest is protected at the local level.

5) Any regulatory activity necessary to protect the state’s interest, as defined in statute, shall be carried out by local government.
6) Counties’ enforcement procedures for violations of zoning and building ordinances should not be hampered by State established maximum fines that in some cases do not serve as a deterrent.

7) Climate change is a programmatic issue of statewide concern that requires a clear understanding of the roles and responsibilities of each level of government as well as the state’s interest in land use decisions to ensure statewide climate change goals are met. Population growth in the state is inevitable, thus climate change strategies will affect land use decisions to accommodate and mitigate the expected growth in the state.

8) Local government, as the chief land use decision-maker and integral part of the housing planning process, must have a clearly defined role and be supported with the resources to achieve the State’s climate change goals.

9) Adequate financial resources shall be provided, before a state-mandate is activated, to ensure local government can carry out state-mandated planning requirements.

SECTION 4: REGIONAL GOVERNMENTS

Counties support voluntary participation within regional agencies as appropriate to resolve regional problems throughout the State. Regional approaches to planning and resolution to issues that cross jurisdictional boundaries are increasingly important. While California’s growth rate has slowed since the boom in the 1980’s, the State will still see significant population gains over the next 50-years with the total population projected to reach 52.7 million by 2060. Within that same time frame, 13 counties will have one million or more residents and six of those counties will have a population of two million or more residents.

Regional agencies in California play an important role in the allocation of regional housing need numbers, programming of Federal and State transportation dollars, in addressing air quality non-attainment problems, and climate change to name a few. Regional collaboration remains important to address issues associated with growth in California, such as revenue equity issues, service responsibilities, a seamless and efficient transportation network, reducing GHGs and tackling climate change, job creation, housing, agricultural and resource protection, and open space designation.

1) The passage of SB 375 in 2008 and the preparation of regional Sustainable Communities Strategies in most of the State’s regions elevate the importance of regional collaboration. Regional agencies must make genuine and substantive efforts to include local governments in their regional planning efforts.
2) While planning at the regional scale is increasingly important, land use decisions shall remain the exclusive province of cities and counties based on state planning and zoning law and the police powers granted to them under the State Constitution.

3) Cities and counties are responsible for a vast infrastructure system, which requires that cities and counties continue to receive direct allocations of revenues to maintain, operate and expand a variety of public facilities and buildings under their jurisdiction. As an example, cities and counties own and operate 81 percent of the state’s publicly maintained road miles, thus must retain direct allocations of transportation dollars to address the needs of this critical network and protect the public’s existing investment.

4) Regional approaches to tax sharing and other financial agreements are appropriate and often necessary to address service needs of future populations; however, cities and counties must maintain financial independence and continue to receive discretionary and program dollars directly.

5) Counties support voluntary revenue-sharing agreements for existing revenues at the regional level, and any mandated revenue sharing must be limited to new revenues.

6) Regional agencies must consider financial incentives for cities and counties that have resource areas or farmland instead of (or in addition to) high growth areas. For example, such incentives should address transportation investments for the preservation and safety of city and county road systems, farm to market transportation, and interconnectivity of transportation needs.

7) Regional agencies should also consider financial assistance to address countywide service responsibilities in counties that contribute towards the GHG emissions reductions targets by implementing policies for growth to occur within their cities and existing urbanized areas.

SECTION 5: SPECIAL DISTRICTS

In recent years, Local Agency Formation Commissions (LAFCOs) have been generally successful at regulating incorporations, annexations, and the formation of new special districts. However, the state has a legacy of a large number of independent special districts that leads to fragmentation of local government.

1) Counties find that there are many fully justified districts that properly serve the purpose for which they were created. However, there are districts whose existence is no longer "defensible."

2) Counties find that nothing is served by rhetorically attacking "fragmentation."
3) LAFCOs should retain the authority to evaluate special districts to test their value to the community for whom they were initially formed to serve and identify those districts that no longer serve the purposes for which they were created.

SECTION 6: HOUSING

Housing is an important element of economic development and essential for the health and well being of our communities. The responsibility to meet the state’s housing needs must be borne by all levels of government and the private sector. Reductions in state and federal funding and the loss of redevelopment housing set aside funding create a need for new funding sources to support the development of affordable housing. Moreover, reforms are needed to address the current property and sales tax systems in California, which can work against housing affordability by providing fiscal disincentives for additional housing development.

Counties support the following principles in relation to housing. These principles must be taken as a whole, recognizing the importance of their interdependence. These principles provide a comprehensive approach to address the production of housing, recognizing the role of counties, which is to encourage and facilitate the production of housing. They should not be misinterpreted to hold counties responsible for the actual production of housing; instead, they should recognize the need for various interests to cooperatively strive to provide affordable housing that is accessible and available to meet the needs of California residents at all income levels and in all geographic areas.

State Role in Housing Planning

1) CSAC supports a role by the state Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) that focuses on assisting local governments in financing efforts and advising them on planning policies—both of which strive to meet the state’s housing needs.

2) HCD’s role should focus on facilitating the production of housing, rather than an onerous and unpredictable housing element compliance process that detracts from local governments’ efforts to seek funding and actually facilitate housing production.

3) CSAC supports locally driven plans that seek to implement broad state goals allowing for the development of homes affordable to households at all income levels.

4) While CSAC generally opposes direct state intervention in local zoning, state laws that streamline the housing development process must also provide opportunities for counties to meet their housing element planning requirements more easily.

Housing Element Reform

1) A sweeping reform of the current housing element requirements should be undertaken to streamline and simplify existing housing element law.
2) The housing element should place a greater emphasis on obtaining financing and enabling production, rather than the overly detailed data analysis now required under state law.

3) Housing element reform should provide local governments with the flexibility and creativity to adopt local housing elements, comprehensive housing assistance strategies, and other local plans and programs that will be effective in their communities.

4) Housing element reform should conserve state and local resources by promoting predictable HCD review consistent with statutory requirements, including transparent standards that are uniformly applied and includes timelines for comment periods and decision-making.

5) Housing element reform must consider the unique characteristics of unincorporated communities, including the limited availability of infrastructure to support urban development, limited transit services, and policies to protect agricultural lands and open space.

6) Housing element reform should allow counties to obtain RHNA credits for their resource participation in the creation of affordable housing within their incorporated jurisdiction.

7) Housing element reform must consider natural resources issues, including exposure to natural hazards and availability of resources to support new growth, especially in rural, unincorporated areas, and reflect solutions that address the risk and needs without stifling needed housing production.

**Affordable Housing Funding**

1) Counties support identifying and generating a variety of permanent financing resources and subsidy mechanisms for affordable housing, including a statewide permanent source for affordable housing.

2) These sources need to be developed to address California's housing needs, particularly with the reduction of federal and state contributions in recent years. The elimination of redevelopment in 2012 redirected most public funds previously dedicated to affordable housing development and preservation, as it ended all future receipts of affordable housing set-aside funds, as well as recapturing many millions of dollars in housing funds that had been received in prior years.

3) The need for new affordable housing units exceeds the number of new units for which financing and subsidies will be available each year. Therefore, additional funding is necessary to ensure production of new subsidized units, and adequate funds for housing subsidies to households.
4) Policies should be established to encourage continued flow of capital to market rate and affordable housing ownership to assure an adequate supply of low-cost, low-down payment mortgage financing for first-time and qualified buyers.

5) A need exists to educate the private building and financial communities on the opportunities that exist with the affordable housing submarket to encourage new investments.

6) Establish and adequately fund federal and state tax incentives for the provision of affordable housing. The tax codes and financial industry regulations need to be revised to provide stimulus to produce affordable housing, particularly for state moderate, median, low, and very low-income households. Counties support expansion of existing tax credit programs to better allow local governments to meet statewide goals for the development of affordable homes.

7) The state should develop an incentive structure to support counties who make progress towards meeting their state allocated housing goals.

Restructure Local Government Funding to Support Housing Affordability
The current property and sales tax systems in California are not supportive of housing development and work against housing affordability because housing is not viewed as a "fiscal winner" by local governments as they make land use and policy decisions.

1) Local government finance should be restructured at the state level to improve the attractiveness and feasibility of affordable housing development at the local level.

2) At a minimum, there should be better mechanisms to allow and encourage local governments to share tax revenues.

Promote a Full Range of Housing in All Communities

1) Local governments, builders, the real estate industry, financial institutions, and other concerned stakeholders should recognize their joint opportunities to encourage a full range of housing and should work together to achieve this goal.

2) Promoting a full range of housing will require cooperative effort from the beginning of the planning and approval process.

3) CSAC supports creatively applying incentives and development standards, minimizing regulations, and generating adequate financing to make housing more affordable and available to all income groups.
4) CSAC supports reforms that facilitate the ability of counties to provide for the construction and financing of affordable housing, including the repeal of constitutional limitations on the ability of local government to financially support affordable housing without voter approval.

SECTION 7: HOMELESSNESS

Given the growing magnitude of California’s homelessness crisis, CSAC reinstated the Homelessness Action Team in 2022 to develop guiding principles on homelessness. These Homelessness Principles were approved by the CSAC Board of Directors on September 1, 2022, and will guide advocacy efforts around homelessness policies, investments, and proposals. The principles outline the need for a statewide plan, call for multi-level partnerships and collaboration while recognizing the need for clear lines of responsibility across all levels of government, detail the importance of building enough housing, and highlight how critical sustained and flexible state funding is to making progress.