CSAC BOARD OF DIRECTORS

BRIEFING MATERIALS

Thursday, May 19, 2016
12:30 p.m. 4:00 p.m.

Meeting Location:
Regency Ballroom B-C
Hyatt Regency Hotel
1209 L Street, Sacramento

California State
Association of Counties
CALIFORNIA STATE ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES
BOARD OF DIRECTORS
Thursday, May 19, 2016
12:30pm – 4:00pm
Regency Ballroom B-C, Hyatt Regency Hotel, 1209 L Street, Sacramento, CA

AGENDA

Agenda times are approximate. Matters may be considered earlier than published time.

Presiding: Richard Forster, President

12:30pm  BUFFET LUNCH

1:00pm  PROCEDURAL ITEMS
1. Pledge of Allegiance

2. Roll Call

3. Approval of Minutes of February 18, 2016

1:15pm  SPECIAL PRESENTATIONS
4. Governor’s May Revision of the 2016-17 State Budget
   Michael Cohen, Director, State Department of Finance

5. CSAC Report on the Governor’s May Revision
   DeAnn Baker & CSAC Advocacy staff

6. CSAC Finance Corporation Report
   Supervisor Linda Selbert, CSAC Finance Corp. President
   Alan Fernandes, Executive Vice President

7. CSAC Corporate Partner Remarks
   Steve Bennett, Dominion Voting Systems
   Jim Manker, CSAC staff

8. CSAC Operations and Member Services Update
   Graham Knaus, CSAC staff

2:15pm  ACTION ITEMS
9. CSAC Policy Committee Reports
    Housing, Land Use & Transportation
    Supervisor David Rabbitt, Chair
    Kiana Buss, CSAC staff

    Health & Human Services
    Supervisor Ken Yeager, Chair
    Farrah McDaid Ting, CSAC staff

    Government Finance & Administration
    Supervisor Henry Perea, Chair
    Dorothy Holzem & Faith Conley, CSAC staff

    Agriculture, Environment & Natural Resources
    Supervisor Diane Dillon, Chair
    Karen Keene & Cara Martinson, CSAC staff

    Administration of Justice
    Supervisor John Viegas, Chair
    Darby Kernan, CSAC staff
3:00pm  **ACTION ITEMS (cont.)**
10. Request for Adoption of Broadband Platform Language  Page 24
   ▪ DeAnn Baker, CSAC staff

11. Approval of Formation of Joint Task Force on Homelessness  Page 26
   ▪ Matt Cate, CSAC Executive Director

12. Consideration of Proposed CSAC Budget for FY 2016-17  Page 43
   ▪ Matt Cate, CSAC Executive Director
   ▪ Supervisor Judy Morris, CSAC Treasurer

13. Consideration of Proposed Amendments to CSAC Constitution  Page 49
   ▪ Matt Cate, CSAC Executive Director
   ▪ Jennifer Henning, CSAC Legal Counsel

14. Appointment of CSCDA Commissioner  Page 51
   ▪ Matt Cate, CSAC Executive Director

3:45pm  **INFORMATION ITEMS**
15. Informational Reports without Presentation  Page 52
   ▶ CSAC Litigation Coordination Program Report
   ▶ Institute for Local Government (ILG) Report
   ▶ IRS Form 990

16. Other Items

4:00pm  **ADJOURN**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Director</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>Alameda County</td>
<td>Keith Carson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Alpine County</td>
<td>Terry Woodrow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Amador County</td>
<td>Louis Boitano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Butte County</td>
<td>Bill Connelly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Calaveras County</td>
<td>Michael Oliveira</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Colusa County</td>
<td>Kim Dolbow Vann</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>Contra Costa County</td>
<td>John Gioia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Del Norte County</td>
<td>David Finigan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>El Dorado County</td>
<td>Ron Mikulaco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>Fresno County</td>
<td>Henry Perea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Glenn County</td>
<td>John Viegas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Humboldt County</td>
<td>Virginia Bass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Imperial County</td>
<td>Raymond Castillo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Inyo County</td>
<td>Jeff Griffiths</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Kern County</td>
<td>Leticia Perez</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Kings County</td>
<td>Doug Verboon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Lake County</td>
<td>Anthony Farrington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Lassen County</td>
<td>Jim Chapman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>Los Angeles County</td>
<td>Don Knabe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Madera County</td>
<td>David Rogers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Marin County</td>
<td>Damon Connolly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Mariposa County</td>
<td>John Carrier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Mendocino County</td>
<td>Carre Brown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Merced County</td>
<td>Hubert “Hub” Walsh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Modoc County</td>
<td>Jim Wills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Mono County</td>
<td>Larry Johnston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Monterey County</td>
<td>Fernando Armenta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Napa County</td>
<td>Diane Dillon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Nevada County</td>
<td>Ed Scofield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>Orange County</td>
<td>Lisa Bartlett</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Placer County</td>
<td>Jim Holmes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Plumas County</td>
<td>Lori Simpson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>Riverside County</td>
<td>John Benoit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section</td>
<td>County</td>
<td>Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>Sacramento County</td>
<td>Susan Peters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>San Benito County</td>
<td>Jaime De La Cruz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>San Bernardino County</td>
<td>James Ramos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>San Diego County</td>
<td>Greg Cox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>San Francisco City &amp; County</td>
<td>vacant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>San Joaquin County</td>
<td>Bob Elliott</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>San Luis Obispo County</td>
<td>Bruce Gibson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>San Mateo County</td>
<td>Carole Groom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Santa Barbara County</td>
<td>Doreen Farr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>Santa Clara County</td>
<td>Ken Yeager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Santa Cruz County</td>
<td>Bruce McPherson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Shasta County</td>
<td>Leonard Moty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Sierra County</td>
<td>Lee Adams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Siskiyou County</td>
<td>Ed Valenzuela</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Solano County</td>
<td>Linda Seifert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Sonoma County</td>
<td>Efren Carrillo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Stanislaus County</td>
<td>Vito Chiesa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Sutter County</td>
<td>Larry Munger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Tehama County</td>
<td>Robert Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Trinity County</td>
<td>Judy Morris</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Tulare County</td>
<td>Steve Worthley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Tuolumne County</td>
<td>Sherri Brennan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>Ventura County</td>
<td>Kathy Long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Yolo County</td>
<td>Jim Provenza</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Yuba County</td>
<td>Roger Abe</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

President: Richard Forster, Amador
First Vice President: Dave Roberts, San Diego
Second Vice President: Leticia Perez, Kern
Immed. Past President: Vito Chiesa, Stanislaus

SECTION: U=Urban  S=Suburban  R=Rural
M I N U T E S

Presiding: Richard Forster, President

1. ROLL CALL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Member Name</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Member Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alameda</td>
<td>Keith Carson</td>
<td>Placer</td>
<td>Jim Holmes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpine</td>
<td>Terry Woodrow</td>
<td>Plumas</td>
<td>Lori Simpson (audio)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amador</td>
<td>Forster/Boitano</td>
<td>Riverside</td>
<td>absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butte</td>
<td>Bill Connelly</td>
<td>Sacramento</td>
<td>absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calaveras</td>
<td>absent</td>
<td>San Benito</td>
<td>absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colusa</td>
<td>Kim Dolbow Vann</td>
<td>San Bernardino</td>
<td>James Ramos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contra Costa</td>
<td>absent</td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>Roberts/Cox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Del Norte</td>
<td>absent</td>
<td>San Francisco</td>
<td>vacant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Dorado</td>
<td>Ron Mikulaco</td>
<td>San Joaquin</td>
<td>Bob Elliott (audio)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresno</td>
<td>Henry Perea</td>
<td>San Luis Obispo</td>
<td>Bruce Gibson (audio)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glenn</td>
<td>John Viegas (audio)</td>
<td>San Mateo</td>
<td>Carole Groom (audio)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humboldt</td>
<td>Virginia Bass</td>
<td>Santa Barbara</td>
<td>Doreen Farr (audio)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imperial</td>
<td>absent</td>
<td>Santa Clara</td>
<td>absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inyo</td>
<td>Jeff Griffiths (audio)</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>Bruce McPherson (audio)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kern</td>
<td>Leticia Perez</td>
<td>Shasta</td>
<td>Leonard Moty (audio)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kings</td>
<td>Doug Verboon (audio)</td>
<td>Sierra</td>
<td>Lee Adams (audio)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>absent</td>
<td>Siskiyou</td>
<td>Ed Valenzuela</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lassen</td>
<td>Jim Chapman (audio)</td>
<td>Solano</td>
<td>Linda Seifert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>absent</td>
<td>Sonoma</td>
<td>Efren Carrillo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madera</td>
<td>absent</td>
<td>Stanislaus</td>
<td>Vito Chiesa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marin</td>
<td>absent</td>
<td>Sutter</td>
<td>Larry Munger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mariposa</td>
<td>John Carrier</td>
<td>Tehama</td>
<td>Robert Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mendocino</td>
<td>Carre Brown (audio)</td>
<td>Trinity</td>
<td>Judy Morris (audio)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merced</td>
<td>absent</td>
<td>Tulare</td>
<td>Steve Worthley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modoc</td>
<td>Jim Wills (audio)</td>
<td>Tuolumne</td>
<td>Sherri Brennan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mono</td>
<td>Larry Johnston</td>
<td>Ventura</td>
<td>Kathy Long (audio)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monterey</td>
<td>Fernando Armenta (audio)</td>
<td>Yolo</td>
<td>Jim Provenza</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Napa</td>
<td>Diane Dillon</td>
<td>Yuba</td>
<td>Roger Abe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td>Hank Weston</td>
<td>Advisor: Pat Blacklock</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange</td>
<td>Lisa Bartlett (audio)</td>
<td>Advisor: Pat Blacklock</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The presence of a quorum was noted.

2. **APPROVAL OF MINUTES**
The minutes of December 3, 2015, were approved as previously mailed.

3. **PRESENTATION OF DISTINGUISHED SERVICE AWARDS**
President Forster presented the following Distinguished Service Awards:
- **Betty Yee, State Controller** – for her ongoing commitment to partnering with counties in promoting fiscal health and well-being;
- **Michael Cohen, State Department of Finance Director** – for his instrumental role in accelerating the pre-2004 mandate payments to local governments, as well as the allocation of PILT revenues for the first time in more than a decade; and
- **Joe Dhillon, Senior Advisor to the Governor for Tribal Negotiations** – for maintaining open lines of communication with CSAC staff and individual counties which allowed CSAC to provide ample input regarding mitigating local government impacts from off-reservation gaming.

4. **CORPORATE PARTNER PRESENTATION**
Brian Hicks from BlueCat addressed the Board regarding IT services the company provides. The CSAC Corporate Partnership program currently has 60 partners. The next CSAC regional meeting will be held on March 24 in Shasta County. The 2016 Corporate Partner Guide is being distributed to every procurement and general services officer in California.

5. **GOVERNOR’S BUDGET FOR 2016-17**
Michael Cohen, State Department of Finance Director, presented highlights of the Governor’s proposed FY 2016-17 budget, totaling $122 billion in General Fund expenditures. He noted that the an economic downturn is expected next year and the Governor is supporting state programs that will help California more readily bounce back from the next recession through workforce training and education transition assistance. He discussed the three components of the Governor’s Public Safety Initiative: expanded credit system for prison inmates who participate in education and rehabilitation programs; parole consideration opportunities for a broader state prison population; and amending the juvenile justice process for convicting juveniles in adult court. The proposal also contains $25 million in public safety money for cities and counties to site re-entry facilities in their communities.

6. **STATE BUDGET REVIEW AND POTENTIAL BALLOT MEASURES**
Staff presented an update on CSAC’s top 2016 legislative priorities contained in the Governor’s proposed FY 2016-17 budget.

The expiration of the MCO tax on June 30 of this year is expected to create a $1.3 billion hole in the state’s General Fund, which would result in cuts across multiple programs. The Governor has called a special session on health care to focus the Legislature on passing a revised MCO tax. In his budget, the Governor proposes a revised three-year tiered MCO tax plan based on the type of health plan (commercial, closed-system, and Medi-Cal). Staff reported that the proposal is now being supported by health plans, counties and other stakeholders. Legislative committees should be meeting next week and a vote by the Legislature is expected to take place in the next two weeks.

The Governor’s proposed budget contains a reintroduction of his 2015 transportation funding and reform package that would spend an additional $3.6 billion annually for ten years on a maintenance and rehabilitation of state and local transportation systems and investments in transit. The proposal also includes a number of reforms and accountability measures. Under the Governor’s proposal, cities and counties would receive $1.05 billion annually in new revenue. While this funding would help local governments to start to address significant maintenance and rehabilitation needs, it would not be enough to actually improve the overall condition of the local streets and road system or reduce overall maintenance backlogs. Staff distributed a chart showing the numerous transportation bills currently in the Legislature. None of the current proposals provide enough money for local transportation funding.

Staff provided an update on the Stormwater/Water Conservation initiative which is a measure that would amend Article X of the California Constitution to create a new, optional funding system local agencies can use to finance stormwater management, flood control, sewer and water supply projects, set rates for customers to encourage conservation and reduce water and sewer bills for low-income customers. The
coalition has met with both the Legislative Analyst Office (LAO) and the Attorney General’s Office regarding this initiative. The Attorney General is expected to issue a Title and Summary soon. If it is positive, the coalition will conduct additional polling to determine public support. A decision to move forward with a ballot measure via the signature gathering or legislative process will likely not occur until early March, and will be highly dependent upon the results of the polling.

Staff provided an update on November 2016 ballot initiatives. Currently, there are 68 initiatives circulating for signature. Eight measures have qualified so far. The briefing materials contained details on those eight initiatives. Additional measures currently circulating for signatures touch on many issues that could have direct implications for counties, including marijuana legalization, public safety, property tax assessments, water quality and storage, electricity generation, three-strikes reform, minimum wage adjustments, pension and retiree health benefits, and disability access. Initiatives that qualify for the ballot and have an impact to counties will be referred by the CSAC Officers to the appropriate policy committees, which will make recommendations to the Executive Committee and Board of Directors.

7. State and Federal Legislative Priorities for 2016
Staff presented the draft CSAC 2016 State and Federal Advocacy Priorities as contained in the briefing materials. Staff noted that the CSAC policy committees and Executive Committee have reviewed the priorities. Staff was directed to identify “Tree Mortality” as a stand-alone issue under federal priorities and add “2-1-1 Statewide” to the list of state priorities.

Motion and second to adopt the State and Federal Legislative Priorities for 2016 as amended. Motion carried unanimously.

8. Ratification of Support for Greg Cox, NACo 2nd Vice President Candidate
President Forster announced that Supervisor Greg Cox is running for second vice president of the National Association of Counties (NACo) and requested Board of Directors’ support for his candidacy.

Motion and second to ratify support for Greg Cox and his NACo campaign. Motion carried unanimously.

Staff noted that there are currently three candidates running for NACo second vice president, and all will have booths at the upcoming NACo Legislative Conference in Washington, DC on February 20-23. Board members who are attending the NACo conference were encouraged to assist in campaign activities. Supervisor Cox requested that all counties register and attend the NACo annual conference in July in order to achieve the maximum number of votes.

9. CSAC Finance Corporation Report
Supervisor Linda Seifert, CSAC Finance Corporation President, reported that the Finance Corporation Board currently has an opening for a county treasurer. Candidates are being vetted now and recommendations will be brought to the Executive Committee for consideration at a future meeting.

Staff reported that the US Communities Cooperative Purchasing program now has 38 suppliers. A list of those suppliers was distributed to the Board. The CSAC Finance Corporation Board voted yesterday to approve a new program related to unclaimed property recovery. Details of this new program will be provided to the Executive Committee and Board of Directors at an upcoming meeting.

10. Conflict of Interest Statement for CSAC Board of Directors
CSAC is a California nonprofit corporation. Members of the Board of Directors are subject to certain legal obligations in the performance of the duties of their position. For this reason, CSAC has established a Conflict of Interest Policy for its Board members. Staff requested that all Board members sign the Conflict of Interest Statement and return it to CSAC.

11. Informational Reports
The briefing materials contained informational reports on the Institute for Local Government (ILG) and the CSAC Litigation Coordination Program.

Meeting adjourned.
May 19, 2016

To: CSAC Board of Directors

From: Linda Seifert, Board President
      Alan Fernandes, Executive Vice President

RE: CSAC Finance Corporation Update

The CSAC Finance Corporation Board of Directors held their Annual Meeting in Riverside County April 28-29, 2016. The Board approved the 2016-17 Budget and received a healthy financial report on the status of the 2015-16 Budget. Given the projected increase in net revenue for the 2015-16 Fiscal Year, the Board approved up to an additional $300,000 contribution to CSAC for the current year.

A large part of the projected increase in net revenue for the current year is attributable to the success of the California Statewide Communities Development Authority (CSCDA) who reported 29 closed bond transactions this fiscal year, totaling $1,145,186,401. CSCDA transitioned their administrative services contract to Bridge Strategic Partners as of July 2015 and Bridge has done a remarkable job not only maintaining the current lines of new business but also gaining momentum.

Our U.S. Communities Cooperative Purchasing program continues to be a major focus the CSAC Finance Corporation as California counties are missing out on savings by not using the full suite of contracts available. There are currently 37 contracts featuring guaranteed best government pricing but the average usage is only 2 contracts per county. Along with the League of California Cities, we will be co-hosting a series of U.S. Communities Supplier Summits aimed at bringing in county and city end-users to meet with suppliers and learn more about the program. Upcoming Supplier Summits include:

- May 24th at Victoria Gardens Cultural Center (San Bernardino County)
- June 8th at Shannon Community Center (Alameda County)
- July – Exact date and location TBD (San Diego County)
- July – Exact date and location TBD (Kern County)
- September 21st or 22nd (TBD) – Long Beach Convention Center (Los Angeles County)
- October – Exact date and location TBD (Sonoma County)
Dominion Voting Systems

In today’s election market, Dominion Voting Systems sets itself apart with a commitment to customer service, convenience, and a superior use of technology to provide you with the best possible tools to meet your election challenges.

Dominion’s history spans more than 100 years – with roots all the way back to 1895 and the invention of the first ever Direct Recording lever machines in New York. Over the course of the last century, expertise and experience in the development and deployment of voting systems has grown - Dominion leverages this history of innovation through its vast pool of election specialists. Partnering with and learning from top level suppliers and employees in the election automation industry, Dominion is taking part in the world’s most challenging and innovative democracy projects.

Contact:
Steve Bennett, Regional Sales Manager
(909) 362-1715
steven.bennett@dominionvoting.com
May 19, 2016

To: CSAC Officers  
CSAC Board of Directors

From: Graham Knaus, Deputy Executive Director of Operations and  
Member Services  
David Liebler, Director of Public Affairs & Member Services  
Jim Manker, Director of Corporate Relations  
Kelli Oropeza, Chief of Financial Operations

Re: CSAC Operations and Member Services Update

This memorandum highlights key activities and initiatives occurring within CSAC operations and member services.

**Corporate Partnership Program**

The Corporate Partnership Program (Program) continues to flourish and has moved from a calendar year to a fiscal year to align with the CSAC budget calendar. The Program transitioned January 1, 2016 and is now working on strengthening all partnership relationships as we move towards FY 2016-17.

We are currently at 63 Partners (27 Premier, 8 Executive, 28 Associate). Since our last report we have signed at the Executive Level, PayPal, California Telehealth Network, and beginning July 1 we will add PhRMA. At the Associate Level, we have added Managed Care Systems, and MuniServices.

**Regional Meetings** – These one day regional events are designed to bring together our members and leaders from regional counties, our CSAC Executive and Advocacy Team members and our Premier and Executive level partners. Panels and round table discussions help foster the sharing of information and creative solutions critical to excellent county governance.

- **Northern Counties Regional Meeting (Shasta County)** – Thursday, March 24th. 16 counties, 6 partners, and just over 40 attended this meeting on the topic of tree mortality.
- **Motherlode and Surrounding Counties Regional Meeting (Amador County)** – Thursday, June 16th. This Regional Meeting will focus on public safety including the Governor’s public safety initiative, update on state corrections, local perspective on recent and proposed public safety changes, and national perspective on what’s working.
Looking Ahead – The Corporate Partnership Program is currently working on the following:

- A new partner guide has been completed to help counties understand our partner’s areas of expertise. We will be sending this guide to Supervisors, CAO/CEO’s, and to every General Services Director and Procurement Officer in the state.
- CSAC Corporate Program twitter page (@CsacCorp), please follow us!
- Strengthening a marketing strategy with the CSAC Finance Corporation to leverage opportunities, streamline, and enhance services to counties.

Fiscal Operations
Over the past several years, CSAC has transitioned from difficult budget challenges to having a strong fiscal foundation. The 2012-13 budget included a $521k deficit, outstanding debt on two buildings, and an unsustainable revenue/cost model. Following significant leadership by the Officers, the CSAC Revenue and Capital Committee, the Executive Committee, this Board, and CSAC staff, the budget picture has vastly improved.

CSAC now operates on a strong fiscal foundation. The budget continues to fund advocacy, communications, education, and other priorities consistent with the adopted 2016 Strategic Plan. The 2014 sale of the Ransohoff building eliminated some debt and built reserves. Employee retirement cost sharing was put into place. Multiple efficiencies have been adopted to strengthen internal operations while improving services. The Operating Reserve Policy and Procurement Policy have been adopted and fully implemented to increase fiscal stability and operational efficiencies.

As these measures have been taken, CSAC has moved to be more strategic in advocating and educating counties, enhancing communications and other member services, and all while maintaining dues at the same level for four consecutive years. As we transition to FY 2016-17, CSAC has now paid off our building, eliminating all debt. This is a great achievement and a testament to the fiscal resolve of the Board. In addition, we will begin next year with reserves above our Operating Reserve Policy target. This is possible due to growth in non-dues revenues combined with managing expenditures to remain under budget.
In addition, the Finance Corporation has approved a $300k increase to its FY 2015-16 contribution, further strengthening reserves.

**Member Services and Communications**

**National County Government Month** -- April was National County Government Month and CSAC used this opportunity to spotlight county best practices. A series of 12 videos and accompanying blogs featuring the 2015 Challenge Award-winning programs being rolled out over a six-week period. CSAC’s Communications team spent February through April shooting the videos. One of the features that featured Los Angeles County’s STAR Court received more than 10,000 views in just a couple of weeks.

**Challenge Awards** – Call for Entries for the 2016 CSAC Challenge Awards opened in April. Printed and electronic materials were distributed. We will continue to promote the program through June in order to inform our members on the value – and ease – of entering the program. A particular focus is being placed on rural counties in an effort to boost entries from smaller counties.

**Assisting Legislative Strategy/Priorities** – Our Communications team continues to work closely with our legislative unit to provide complimentary strategies regarding advocacy priorities, including transportation funding, the MCO fix, homelessness, elections and tree mortality. Advocacy-based videos on transportation and tree mortality are being produced.

**County Visits** – The Communications team continues to be aggressive in our county visits with a mission of helping counties tell their stories. Through the first four months of the year, unit members visited 15 counties.

**CSAC Blog/The County Voice** -- We have enhanced our blog contributions by focusing more on legislative issues and county programs; many of our blog posts are now coming from our members, covering topics such as San Diego County’s 2-1-1 program, homelessness and tree mortality. A series of 12 blogs spotlighting county best practices was also written and released.

**Social Media** – CSAC continues to be a leader in social media regularly using all the tools at our disposal, including Twitter, Facebook, Youtube, Periscope and Instagram. Our Twitter account has become particularly influential with more than 5,600 followers.

**Traditional Media** – Media inquiries to CSAC have increased over the past three months. This is a direct reflection of CSAC increasingly being viewed as a reliable, knowledgeable source of information. An effective guest op-ed by Matt Cate regarding the need for election funding was printed by the Sacramento Bee in April.
New CSAC Bulletin Design – CSAC unveiled a new design of its weekly Bulletin to enhance readership, particularly in policy areas. The new format includes headlines that are easy to scan, more articles on policy areas and a new distribution system.

Webinars – CSAC’s monthly webinar series, produced jointly by the legislative and communications units, continues to gain in popularity. All webinars are recorded and store on the CSAC Website and Youtube pages.

Video Testimony – We now have the capability to record and store testimony at the Capitol by either CSAC members or staff. These videos are found on both the CSAC website and Youtube pages. This allows other people to see CSAC’s position before the Legislature on key issues, and the role our members and staff are playing.

CSAC Institute
Under the leadership of Dean Bill Chiat, the CSAC Institute continues to evolve to meet the education needs of counties. The Institute is now offering classes at its Sacramento campus as well as satellite campuses in San Diego County, Merced County, and Contra Costa County. The San Diego County satellite has been in place for two years and has put nearly 100 people through the CSAC Institute credential program. It has been a remarkable success and will transition to Riverside County beginning September 2016. The Institute has also begun a partnership with CCISDA (California County Information Services Directors Association) to offer a 10-course California County Technology Executive credential package.

The Institute facilitated a successful two-day Executive Leadership Symposium March 10-12 in San Jose. The Symposium was led by Marty Linsky, an outstanding leadership expert from Harvard’s Kennedy School of Government.

The Emerging Issues series continued April 14 in Sacramento with a class on Homelessness in Our Communities. This class was facilitated by Humboldt County Supervisor Virginia Bass and Yolo County Supervisor Oscar Villegas and explored many facets of homelessness, from decriminalization to treatment of root causes to prevention, and how counties are thinking about policies and collaborative programs to address it.

The Summer/Fall 2016 schedule of classes is out and includes 36 courses in multiple locations. Classes are a great way to pursue professional development for supervisor, county executives, and managers.

Staff Contacts: Please contact Graham Knaus (gknaus@counties.org or (916) 327-7500 x545), David Liebler (dliebler@counties.org or (916) 327-7500 x530), Jim Manker (jmanker@counties.org or (916) 327-7500 x528), or Kelli Oropeza (koropeza@counties.org or (916) 327-7500 x544) for additional information.
1. Aetna
Josh Miller, Director of Sales and Service
2850 Shadelands Dr.
Walnut Creek, CA 94598
(925) 964-5800
millerj6@aetna.com
www.aetna.com

2. Alliant Insurance Services, Inc.
Nazi Arshi, Senior Vice President
1301 Dove St. Suite 200
Newport Beach, CA 92660
(949) 660-8110
narshi@alliant.com
www.alliant.com

3. Anthem Blue Cross
Michael Prosio, Regional Vice President, State Affairs
1121 L Street, Suite 500
Sacramento, CA 95814
(916) 403-0527
Michael.prosio@anthem.com
www.anthem.com

4. Argyle Security
Buddy Johns, President & CEO
12903 Delivery Drive
San Antonio, TX 78247
(210) 495-5245
bjohns@argylesecurity.com
www.isisecurity.com

5. BlueCat
Brian Hicks, Regional Sales Director, Western US
300 E 5th Avenue, Suite 440
Naperville, IL
United States, 60563
(619) 865-5781
bhicks@bluecatnetworks.com
www.bluecatnetworks.com

6. California Clean Power
Peter Rumble, CEO
50 Santa Rosa Ave, Suite 420
Santa Rosa, CA 95405
(707) 623-9933
prumble@cacleanpower.com
www.cacleanpower.com

7. California Health & Wellness
Greg Buchert, President & CEO
1740 Creekside Oaks Drive, Suite 100
Sacramento, CA 95833
(916) 246-3701
gbuchert@cahealthwellness.com
www.cahealthwellness.com

8. California Statewide Communities Development Authority
Catherine Bando, Executive Director
1700 North Broadway, Suite 405
Walnut Creek, CA 94596
(800) 531-7476
cbando@cscda.org
www.cscda.org

9. CGI
Luis Quinonez, Partner, Consultant
1215 K Street, #1000
Sacramento, CA 95814
(916) 830-1100
luis.quinonez@cgi.com
www.CGI.com

10. Coast2Coast Rx
Marty Dettelbach, Chief Marketing Officer
335 Felspar Way
Cary, NC 27518
(919) 465-0097
marty@c2crx.com
www.coast2coastrx.com

11. CSAC Excess Insurance Authority
Rick Brush, Chief Member Services Officer
75 Iron Point Circle, Suite 200
Folsom, California 95630
(916) 850-7378
rbrush@CSAC-EIA.org
www.csac-eia.org
12. Dell | Enterprise Solutions Group
Rob McCaffrey, Regional Sales Director
5480 Great America Parkway
Santa Clara, CA 95054
(916) 813-9514
Robert_McCaffrey@Dell.com
www.dell.com/networking

13. DLR Group
Dan Sandall, Business Development
1050 20th Street, Suite 250
Sacramento, CA 95811
(310) 804-7997
dsandall@dlrgroup.com
www.dlrgroup.com

14. Dominion Voting Systems
Steve Bennett, Regional Sales Manager
26561 Amhurst Court
Loma Linda, CA 92354
(909) 362-1715
steven.bennett@dominionvoting.com
www.dominionvoting.com

15. Election Systems & Software
Larry Tonelli, Regional Sales Manager
1714 Bilbao Drive
Santa Maria, CA 93454
(315) 559-1653
larry.tonelli@essvote.com
www.essvote.com

16. Hanson Bridgett LLP
Paul Mello, Partner
Samantha Wolff, Senior Counsel
425 Market Street, 26th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94105
(415) 777-3200
swolff@hansonbridgett.com
pmello@hansonbridgett.com
www.hansonbridgett.com

17. Hewlett Packard Enterprise
Frank Ury, Business Development, US Public Sector
22851 Driftstone
Mission Viejo, CA 92692
(949) 922-9979
frank.ury@hpe.com
www.hpe.com

18. Kaiser Permanente
Kirk Kleinschmidt, Director, Government Relations
1950 Franklin St, 3rd Floor
Oakland, CA 94612
(510) 987-1247
kirk.p.kleinschmidt@kp.org
www.kp.org

19. Nationwide
Rob Bilo, VP of Business Development
4962 Robert J Mathews Parkway, Suite 100
El Dorado Hills, CA 95762
(866) 677-5008
bilor@nationwide.com
www.nrsforu.com

20. Optum
Margaret Kelly, National VP, Government Education and Labor
505 N Brand Blvd Ste 1200
Glendale, CA 91203
(818) 484-9188
Margaret.kelly@optum.com
www.optum.com

21. Pacific Gas & Electric Company
Joe Wilson, Local Government Relations
350 Salem St.
Chico CA 95928
(530) 896-4289
J8WE@pge.com
www.pge.com

22. Renovate America, HERO Program
Dustin Reilich, Director of Municipal Development
15073 Avenue of Science #200
San Diego, CA 92128
(949) 237-0965
dreilich@renovateamerica.com
www.heroprogram.com

23. Southern California Edison
Susana Gonzalez, Coalition Specialist
2244 Walnut Grove Avenue
Rosemead, CA 91770
(626) 302-8708
susana.gonzalez@sce.com
www.sce.com
24. Synoptek
Marc Moring II, Regional Manager
3200 Douglas Blvd. Suite 320
Roseville, CA 95661
(916) 402-1150
marc@synoptek.com
www.synoptek.com

25. UnitedHealthcare
Meghan Newkirk, Senior Vice President, Public Sector
5701 Katella Avenue
Cypress, CA  90630
(714) 252-0335
Meghan.Newkirk@uhc.com
www.uhc.com

26. U.S. Communities
Rob Fiorilli, Program Manager
2999 Oak Road, Suite 710
Walnut Creek, CA 94597
(925) 588-5054
rfiorilli@uscommunities.org
www.uscommunities.org

27. Vanir Construction Management, Inc.
Bob Fletcher, Director of Business Development
4540 Duckhorn Drive, Suite 300
Sacramento, CA  95834
(916) 997-3195
bob.fletcher@vanir.com
www.vanir.com
Executive Partners

1. CaliforniaFIRST
Cliff Staton, Executive Vice President
500 12th St., Suite 300
Oakland, CA 94607
(510) 451-7917
ciff@renewfund.com
www.renewfund.com

2. California Telehealth Network
Sheila Markham, VP Enterprise Telehealth Programs
2001 P Street, Suite 100
Sacramento, CA 95811
(916) 341-3371 x209
(310) 717-2096
sheila@caltelehealth.org
www.caltelehealth.org

3. The Geo Group
Rachel Kienzler, Regional Director, Business Development - Western Region
3211 Jefferson St.
San Diego, CA 92110
(619) 204-8630
rkienzler@geogroup.com
www.geogroup.com

4. HdL Companies
Andrew Nickerson, President
1340 Valley Vista Drive
Diamond Bar, CA 91765
(909) 861-4335
anickerson@hdcompanies.com
www.hdcompanies.com

5. Molina Healthcare
Mohit Ghose, VP Government Contracts
200 Oceangate, Suite 100
Long Beach, CA 90802
(888) 562-5442 ext. 111562
Mohit.Ghose@MolinaHealthCare.com
www.molinahealthcare.com

6. PayPal
Devin Whitney, Senior Manager, State Government Relations
2211 North First Street
San Jose, CA 95131
(707) 319-3753
dewhitney@paypal.com
www.paypal.com

7. Recology
Eric Potashner, Senior Director Strategic Affairs
50 California Street, 24th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111-9796
(415) 624-9885
epotashner@recology.com
www.recology.com

8. Waterman & Associates
Joe Krahn, President
900 Second St., NE Ste. 109
Washington, DC 20002
(202) 898-1444
jk@wafed.com
www.watermandc.com
1. **AT&T**
Mike Silacci, Regional Vice President
External Affairs – Greater Los Angeles Region
1150 South Olive Street, Suite 2803
Los Angeles, CA 90015
(213) 743-7010
ms9749@att.com
www.att.com

2. **CGL Companies**
Robert Glass, Executive Vice President
2485 Natomas Park Drive, Suite 300
Sacramento, CA 95833
(509) 953-2587
bglass@cglcompanies.com
www.cglcompanies.com

3. **Comcast**
Sue Vaccaro, Senior Director of Government Affairs - California Region
3155 Fulton Drive
Fairfield, CA 94534
(925) 206-9109
Sue_Vaccaro@cable.comcast.com
www.comcast.com

4. **Corrections Corporation of America**
Brad Wiggins, Senior Director, Site Acquisition
10 Burton Hills Boulevard
Nashville, TN 37215
(615) 263-3093
brad.wiggins@correctionscorp.com
www.cca.com

5. **Dewberry Architects, Inc.**
Alan Korth, RA, LEED Associate Principal
300 N. Lake Ave, Suite #1200
Pasadena, CA 91101
(626) 437-4674
akorth@dewberry.com
www.dewberry.com

6. **Enterprise Holdings**
Lisa Holmes, State of CA Contract Manager
199 N. Sunrise Ave.
Roseville, CA 95747
(916) 787-4733
Lisa.m.holmes@ehi.com
www.enterprise.com

7. **ESRI**
Jan Cunningham, Account Manager
380 New York St
Redlands, CA 92373
(909) 793-2853 x4363
jcunningham@esri.com
www.esri.com

8. **Equinox Industries Ltd.**
Mari-Lynn Rougeau, Business Manager
401 Chrislind Street
Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada R2C 5G4
(800) 563-3352
Mari-lynn@eqnx.biz
www.desertplanters.com

9. **HDR**
John MacAllister, Principal, Director of Justice Consulting
560 Mission Street, Suite 900
San Francisco, CA 94105
(415) 546-4287
john.macallister@hdrinc.com
www.hdrinc.com

10. **Hospital Council of Northern & Central California**
Brian L. Jensen, Regional Vice President
1215 K Street, Suite 730
Sacramento, CA 95814
(916) 552-7564
bjensen@hospitalcouncil.net
www.hospitalcouncil.net

11. **inContact**
Pat Hansen, District Sales Manager
7730 S. Union Park Ave #500
Salt Lake, UT 84047
(916) 601-9319
Pat.hansen@inContact.com
www.inContact.com
12. Kitchell
Veronica Jacobson, Marketing Manager
2750 Gateway Oaks Dr., Suite 300
Sacramento, CA 95833
(916) 648-9700
vjacobson@kitchell.com
www.kitchell.com

13. Liebert Cassidy Whitmore
Jennifer Johnson, Business Development Manager
6033 W. Century Boulevard, 5th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90045
(310) 981-2057
jjohnson@lcwlegal.com
www.lcwlegal.com

14. Managed Care Systems, LLC
Michael Myers, CEO
4550 California Ave., Suite 500
Bakersfield, CA 93309
(661) 716-8820
mmyers@managedcaresystems.com
www.managedcaresystems.com

15. MuniServices
Brenda Narayan, Director of Government Relations
1400 K St. Ste.301
Sacramento, CA 95814
(916) 261-5147
Brenda.narayan@muniservices.com
www.MuniServices.com

16. Northrop Grumman Aerospace Systems
Joe Ahn, Division Manager
Government Relations and Public Affairs
One Space Park
Redondo Beach, CA 90278
(310) 812-5312
joe.ahn@ngc.com
www.northropgrumman.com

17. Opterra Energy Services
Ashu Jain, Senior Manager
23 Nevada
Irvine, CA 92606
(714) 473-7837
ajain@opterraenergy.com
www.opterraenergy.com

18. PARS
Mitch Barker, Executive Vice President
4350 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 100
Newport Beach, CA 92660
(800) 540-6369 x116
mbarker@pars.org
www.pars.org

19. Ramsell Public Health & Safety
Brian Mattson, PhD
200 Webster St. #200
Oakland, CA 94607
(720) 369-3656
bmattson@ramsellcorp.com
www.ramsellphs.com

20. Raymond James
Robert Larkins, Managing Director, Western Region Manager
One Embarcadero Center, 6th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
(415) 616-8025
robert.larkins@raymondjames.com
www.raymondjames.com

21. RBC Capital Markets, LLC
Bob Williams, Managing Director
2 Embarcadero Center, Suite 1200
San Francisco, CA 94111
(415) 445-8674
bob.williams@rbccm.com
www.rbccm.com/municipalfinance/

22. Sierra
Jack Ingram, Senior Account Executive
9950 Horn Road
Sacramento, CA 95827
(916) 308-6331
jack@sierrabg.com
www.sierrabg.com

23. Sierra West Group, INC.
Mary Wallers, President
9700 Business Park Drive, #102,
Sacramento, CA 95827
(916) 212-1618
mewallers@sierrawestgroup.com
www.sierrawestgroup.com

24. Union Pacific Railroad
Lisa Lawson Stark, Director, Public Affairs
915 L Street, Suite 1180
Sacramento, CA 95814
(916) 789-5957
llstark@up.com
www.up.com
25. Union Supply Group
LD Hay, Executive Vice President
2301 East Pacifica Place
Rancho Dominguez, CA 90220
(310) 604-4642
LDHay@unionsupplygroup.com
www.UnionSupplyGroup.com

26. Willis Towers Watson
Jon Andrews, Exchange Solutions
2929 Campus Drive, Suite 400
San Mateo, CA 94403
(972) 529-2985
jon.andrews@willistowerswatson.com
www.medicare.oneexchange.com

27. Wells Capital Management
Lyle Defenbaugh, Director of Client Relations
400 Capitol Mall, Suite 702
Sacramento, CA 95814
(916) 440-4890
lyle.defenbaugh@wellscap.com
www.wellscap.com

28. Xerox Corporation
Tracie J. Weathers, General Manager
17785 Center Court Drive, Suite 300
Cerritos, CA 90703
(562) 639-3707
tracie.weathers@xerox.com
www.consulting.xerox.com
Supervisor David Rabbitt, Sonoma County, Chair
Supervisor Bob Williams, Tehama County, Vice Chair

8:30 a.m.  I. Welcome and Introductions
Supervisor David Rabbitt, Chair
Supervisor Bob Williams, Vice Chair

8:35 a.m.  II. California Department of Housing and Community Development Presentation
Ben Metcalf, Director, Department of Housing and Community Development

8:55 a.m.  III. Housing Funding and Land Use Planning Legislative Update
Kiana Valentine, Legislative Representative, CSAC
Chris Lee, Legislative Analyst, CSAC
Attachment One: Land Use and Housing Legislation Memo
Attachment Two: Summary of Assembly Democratic Caucus Housing Plan
Attachment Three: Summary of Senate “No Place Like Home” Proposal

9:20 a.m.  IV. Transportation Funding Press Conference & Rally Briefing
Kiana Valentine, Legislative Representative, CSAC
Chris Lee, Legislative Analyst, CSAC
Attachment Four: State Transportation Funding Update Memo
Attachment Five: Comparison of Transportation Funding Plans
Attachment Six: Revenue Estimates for Funding Plans

9:30 a.m.  V. Closing Comments and Adjournment
Supervisor David Rabbitt, Chair
Supervisor Bob Williams, Vice Chair
Health and Human Services Policy Committee
Thursday, May 19 • 10:45 a.m. – 12:00 p.m.
Regency Ballroom E&F • Hyatt Regency Sacramento
1209 L Street • Sacramento, CA

Supervisor Ken Yeager, Santa Clara County, Chair
Supervisor Hub Walsh, Merced County, Vice Chair

Note: This policy committee meeting is an in-person meeting only and is being held as part of the CSAC 2016 Legislative Conference.

10:45 a.m. I. Welcome and Introductions
Supervisor Ken Yeager, Committee Chair, Santa Clara County
Supervisor Hub Walsh, Committee Vice Chair, Merced County

10:50 – 11:00 a.m. II. HHS Budget Update
Farrah McDaid Ting, CSAC Legislative Representative
Elizabeth Marsolais, CSAC Legislative Analyst

11:00 – 11:10 a.m. III. Jail Medical Costs Update
Michelle Gibbons, Executive Director, County Health Executives Association of California

11:10 – 11:30 a.m. IV. IHSS Issues Update: Minimum Wage, Overtime, and County Administration
Faith Conley, CSAC Legislative Representative
Karen Keeslar, Executive Director, California Association of Public Authorities
Diana Boyer, Senior Policy Analyst, County Welfare Directors Association of California

11:30 a.m. – 12:00 p.m. V. Presentation: Strategies for Creating Permanency for Older Foster Youth
Don Nottoli, Supervisor, Sacramento County
Gail Johnson Vaughan, Director Emerita/Chief Permanency Officer, Families NOW

12:00 p.m. VI. Adjournment
Government Finance & Administration Policy Committee
CSAC Legislative Conference
Thursday, May 19, 2016 — 8:15 a.m. – 9:45 a.m.
Hyatt Regency Sacramento, Regency A
Sacramento County, California

Supervisor Henry Perea, Fresno County, Chair
Supervisor Erin Hannigan, Solano County, Vice Chair

8:15 a.m. I. Welcome and Introductions
Supervisor Henry Perea, Fresno County, Chair
Supervisor Erin Hannigan, Solano County, Vice Chair

8:20 a.m. II. State Budget Update and Fiscal Forecast
Carolyn Chu, Senior Fiscal & Policy Analyst, Legislative Analyst's Office

8:35 a.m. III. November Ballot Measure: Revenue Bonds by Statewide Voter Approval
Kurt Oneto, Partner, Nielsen Merksamer Parrinello Gross & Leoni, LLP
Brandon Castillo, Partner, Bicker, Castillo & Fairbanks

9:05 a.m. IV. SB 272 Open Data Law Compliance
Faith Conley, Legislative Representative, CSAC
County of Sacramento

9:20 a.m. V. Broadband Legislative and Regulatory Update
Sunne Wright McPeak, President and CEO, California Emerging Technology Fund

9:30 a.m. VI. Legislative Update
Faith Conley, Legislative Representative, CSAC
Dorothy Holzem, Legislative Representative, CSAC

9:40 a.m. VII. Open Source Voting Systems
Brent Turner, Secretary, California Association of Voting Officials

9:45 a.m. VIII. Ballot Measures Update – Informational Item

9:45 a.m. IX. Closing Comments and Adjournment
Supervisor Henry Perea, Fresno County, Chair
Supervisor Erin Hannigan, Solano County, Vice Chair
Agriculture, Environment and Natural Resources Policy Committee
CSAC Legislative Conference
Thursday, May 19, 2016 - 10:45 a.m. – 12:10 p.m.
Hyatt Regency, Room A
1209 L Street, Sacramento, CA

Supervisor Diane Dillon, Napa County, Chair
Supervisor Pam Giacomini, Shasta County, Vice-Chair

10:45 a.m. I. Welcome and Introductions
Supervisor Diane Dillon, Napa County, Chair
Supervisor Pam Giacomini, Shasta County, Vice-Chair

10:50 a.m. II. Report from the Bureau of Medical Marijuana Regulation
Lori Ajax, Chief, Bureau of Medical Marijuana Regulation

11:10 a.m. III. The State of our Forests: Update and Discussion of the Governor’s State of Emergency on Tree Mortality
Matthew Reischman, Assistant Deputy Director of Resource Protection, CAL Fire

11:25 a.m. IV. California WaterFix Project: Delta Tunnels Proposal
Janelle Beland, Undersecretary, Natural Resources Agency

11:45 a.m. V. Climate Change and Waste Management: Super Pollutants, Waste & Recycling
Cara Martinson, CSAC Legislative Representative
Supervisor Doreen Farr, Santa Barbara County

12:00 p.m. VI. AENR Legislative and Budget Update
Karen Keene, CSAC Senior Legislative Representative
Cara Martinson, CSAC Legislative Representative

12:10 p.m. VII. Closing Comments & Adjournment
Supervisor Diane Dillon, Napa County, Chair
Supervisor Pam Giacomini, Shasta County, Vice-Chair
2:15 p.m. I. Welcome and Introductions

   Supervisor Virginia Bass, Humboldt County, Vice Chair

2:20 p.m. II. Active Shooter – San Bernardino County’s Response to Domestic Terrorism – Issues, Costs, and Lessons Learned

   Mike Antonucci, Office of Emergency Services Manager, San Bernardino County
   Mark Ghilarducci, Director, CAL Office of Emergency Services

3:05 p.m. III. Urban Shield – Comprehensive, Regional Emergency Preparedness Exercise for First Responders

   Sheriff Greg Ahern, Alameda County
   Assistant Sheriff Brett Keteles, Alameda County

3:30 p.m. IV. May Revision Update

3:40 p.m. V. County Concerns and Closing Remarks

   Supervisor Virginia Bass, Humboldt County, Vice Chair

3:45 p.m. VI. Adjournment
May 19, 2016

To: Members, CSAC Board of Directors

From: DeAnn Baker, Deputy Executive Director of Legislative Affairs
       Dorothy Holzem, Legislative Representative
       Betsy Hammer, Legislative Analyst

**RE: CSAC Policy Platform Language: Broadband – ACTION ITEM**

**Recommendation.** Approve the proposed Broadband Platform Language.

**Background.** There have been recent and increasing state and federal legislative and regulatory actions related to broadband, or high speed internet, that have called for CSAC advocacy to promote and protect the interests of our members. However, the current CSAC Policy Platform does not speak to this issue in terms of infrastructure development, funding opportunities, or definitions of this technology, amongst other issues.

In the fall of 2015, CSAC began developing language to guide advocacy in this area with the Government Finance and Administration Policy Committee members. In November, staff disseminated via email draft language to the Policy Committee for review. Two conference calls were held to discuss the proposed language and staff also received feedback from Policy Committee members via email.

At the December 2015 Annual Meeting, the Policy Committee reviewed the proposed language and directed staff to bring back a further revised version reflecting the comments offered. Two additional conference calls were held with the Policy Committee in January 2016. The final proposed language received unanimous approval for transmission to the Executive Committee during the January 28 meeting of the Policy Committee. At the Executive Committee meeting held on April 7 the language received unanimous approval as well.

**Platform Language Process.** Every two years, the CSAC Board of Directors adopts the CSAC Platform at the beginning of a new legislative session. However, as stated in the Platform’s Preamble, the Platform is a living document and may be amended by the Board of Directors by actions taken in response to policy issues outside the bi-annual process.

**Attachment.** Draft CSAC Policy Platform Amendment - Broadband

**Staff Contacts.** Dorothy Holzem can be reached at (916) 327-7500, ext 515 or dholzem@counties.org. Betsy Hammer can be reached at (916) 327-7500, ext 531 or bhammer@counties.org.
Section 7: BROADBAND

Counties support the expansion of broadband (high speed internet service) to all parts of the State to drive economic development and job opportunities, support county service delivery, and improve health, education, and public safety outcomes for residents.

Broadband must be capable of supporting current technology standards and speeds in order for counties to realize these benefits. This may require infrastructure solutions specific to a given county or region.

Access and adoption are both necessary elements that should be supported in state and federal legislative or regulatory proposals. This includes, but is not limited to:

- Establishing and maintaining reliable broadband in unserved or underserved communities;
- Promoting the knowledge, skills and behaviors that comprise digital literacy;
- Making broadband affordable for all households;
- Maximizing funding for infrastructure; and
- Reducing infrastructure deployment barriers.
May 19, 2016

To: CSAC Board of Directors
From: Matt Cate, Executive Director
DeAnn Baker, Deputy Executive Director, Legislative Affairs

RE: Formation of Joint Task Force on Homelessness with the League of California Cities – ACTION ITEM

➢ Staff Recommendation: Approve formation of a joint task force on homelessness with the League of California Cities.

Overview. Communities throughout California are grappling with significant challenges related to an estimated 115,000 homeless persons in California. State legislators are also determined to combat homelessness, making it a focus in 2016.

Because the issue of homelessness often crosses over city and county lines, collaboration between jurisdictions is critical to identifying and delivering solutions that reflect the unique needs of each community.

To foster collaboration and put our collective expertise into combatting homelessness, CSAC is proposing the formation of a joint task force on homeless issues with the League of California Cities. The task force would meet in person in Sacramento at least three times in 2016, and consist of an even number of city and county representatives with an interest of experience in the issue.

To move quickly, we are proposing approval by the Board of the formation of the task force and, in line with current CSAC bylaws, affirming the authority of the CSAC Officers to make appointments to the task force.

The League of California Cities Board of Directors approved the formation of the joint task force on April 28. The desire of both CSAC and the League is to hold an initial meeting by late May/early June.

County Background. From urban to rural, each of California’s 58 counties provide essential services for people experiencing homelessness, including poverty-reduction programs, physical and behavioral health services, public safety and affordable housing.

- Poverty: Counties are key partners with the state in administering many of the critical poverty-reduction programs, such as CalWORKs and CalFresh benefits and Medi-Cal health eligibility. These poverty programs provide essential support to people experiencing homelessness while they work towards self-sufficiency.
• **Behavioral Health Services:** Counties operate the county mental health plans and provide substance use disorder services. These services help to stabilize individuals as they exit homelessness, and help increase the likelihood that they will remain housed.

• **Public Safety:** County and city public safety systems have an intimate knowledge of their local homeless population due to frequent contacts, and often act as valuable partners in the effort to identify and assist homeless individuals.

• **Zoning and Siting:** Counties are also responsible for planning and zoning land for housing affordable to all segments of the community, and have an important role in creating and supporting affordable housing options. Counties are also often providers of temporary housing solutions for the homeless, especially those struggling with mental health or substance use disorder issues and people recently released from state prison of local jails.

**Homeless Statistics.** The federal Department of Housing and Urban Development released a comprehensive homeless statistics report based on a point-in-time count of homeless individuals, veterans, families, and youth in 2015. Unfortunately, California tops the nation in each of the homeless categories, despite the fact that nationally, there were 54,278 fewer individuals on a single night in 2015 than in 2007, a 13 percent decline overall. The information below is taken directly from that report, and a link to the full report is provided in the resources section of this document.

**Age and Gender**

Nationally, nine of every 10 of homeless individuals were over 24 years of age in 2015. Ten percent were between the ages of 18 and 24, and 1 percent are children under 18 years of age.

Most homeless individuals are men (72%), and most homeless individuals are either white (54%) or African American (36%).

**Homeless Individuals**

On a Single Night in January 2015, California accounted for 26 percent of the nation’s homeless individuals. Five states accounted for over half of all homeless individuals in the United States:

- California (26% or 93,156 people)
- New York (10% or 36,135 people)
- Florida (7% or 26,325 people)
- Texas (5% or 16,265 people)
- Washington (4% or 12,526 people)

In California, 73 percent of the homeless individual population live in unsheltered locations.
Homeless Families

According to HUD, while 206,286 people were homeless in 2015, 64,197 were identified as families with children, representing 37 percent of all people experiencing homelessness. On average, a homeless family household consisted of three people. The vast majority of homeless families with children were staying in shelters (185,824 people), and only 20,462 people in families were unsheltered.

Homeless Unaccompanied Youth

California reported the largest numbers of homeless unaccompanied youth, 10,416 people or 28 percent of the national total. The other states with the large numbers of homeless unaccompanied youth include: NY (2,626), FL (2,371), and NV (2,310).

Veterans

California had the largest number of veterans experiencing homelessness (11,311), but the number of homeless vets has fallen by 37 percent since 2009. Still, homeless veterans in California represented 24 percent of the national homeless veteran population.

Three other states had at least 2,000 homeless veterans: Florida (3,926 or 8% of the national homeless veteran population), New York (2,399 or 5%), and Texas (2,393 or 5%).

In four states, a majority of homeless veterans were living in unsheltered locations: Montana (65%), California (62%), Hawaii (60%), and Mississippi (58%).

Los Angeles had the largest number of homeless veterans in the nation (4,016 people). San Diego City and County also had more than a thousand homeless veterans on a single night in 2015 (1,381).

Chronically Homeless

More than half of the nation’s chronically homeless individuals were located in four states: California (29,178 or 36%), Florida (6,021 or 7%), New York (4,327 or 5%), and Texas (3,778 or 5%).

Between 2014 and 2015, 18 states experienced increases in the number of individuals with chronic patterns of homelessness. Oregon had the largest increase, with 1,314 more chronically homeless individuals in the past year. Other states with large increases were:
California (978)
South Carolina (643)
Illinois (450)
Arizona (342)
Los Angeles had the largest number of chronically homeless individuals (12,356 people) and accounted for almost 15 percent of all chronically homeless individuals nationally. This is nearly 4 times as many chronically homeless individuals as were reported in New York City, with the second largest number of chronically homeless individuals (3,275). This is largely attributed to the mild climate in southern California.

**Legislative Proposals.** The Senate and Assembly, as well as Los Angeles County, have developed and promoted packages to address the issue of homelessness. All of the packages require fiscal investments by the state and are being discussed in the context of the 2016-17 budget process. CSAC does not have a position on the proposals at this time.

**Senate “No Place Like Home” Proposal:** In January, Senate leaders released their “No Place Like Home” proposal. Senate President pro Tempore Kevin de León and former Senator Darrell Steinberg crafted the plan to redirect a portion of Mental Health Services Act (MHSA, or Proposition 63) funding from counties to help securitize up to $2 billion in affordable housing bonds. Under the proposal, only counties would compete with each other for the housing bond funds. The proposal also urges an increase in the state’s Supplemental Security Income/State Supplementary Payment (SSI/SSP) rates, which provide monthly funding to those who are aged, blind, or disabled. Further, the proposal affirms support for the existing Housing Support Program, which is administered by counties within the CalWORKs program, and a new “Bringing Families Home” program to provide family housing.

CSAC has not yet developed a position on the “No Place Like Home” proposal, as details beyond what is attached to this memo – three pages in all – are not yet available.

**Assembly Affordable Housing Package:** Democrats in the Assembly, led by new Speaker Anthony Rendon, released a one-time $1.3 billion proposal to help Californians secure and maintain housing on April 25. The plan would make one-time investments in five areas:

- **Increasing Rental Housing:** $300 million for housing tax credits and $200 million for multifamily housing production programs for lower income working families;
- **Assisting Homeownership:** $200 million in funding grants to local agencies for assistance with workforce housing and $200 million for the existing CalHome program;
- **Farmworker Housing:** $50 million for the Joe Serna Farmworker Housing Grant Program, $25 million for farmworker housing tax credits and $250,000 for the Napa County Farmworker Housing Centers;
- **Homeless Assistance:** $200 million for multifamily supportive housing, $60 million for the new Whole Person Care pilot program specifically for housing high-need Medi-Cal beneficiaries, and $40 million for the statewide Emergency Shelter Grant Program; and
- **Seismic Retrofitting:** $60 million in income tax credits for seismic retrofitting of “soft-story” buildings.
More details are included in the attached letter to the Assembly Budget Committee.

**Los Angeles County State Matching Fund Program to Combat Homelessness.** Los Angeles County is sponsoring a proposal to secure $100 million in state matching funds for local efforts to reduce homelessness. The County has adopted a comprehensive plan to combat homelessness and committed $100 million in county funds toward that goal. Collaboration, coordination, and integration of services are the cornerstones of the county’s efforts, and additional state matching funds will allow the county and other jurisdictions, including counties and cities, to leverage existing housing funding.

The County identifies five areas or services that could receive state matching funds under their proposal:

- Subsidized housing for homeless disabled individuals pursuing Supplemental Security Income (SSI)
- Rapid re-housing
- Housing Choice vouchers for permanent supportive housing
- Interim/Bridge housing for those exiting institutions
- Enhancing the emergency shelter system

More details are included in the attached Los Angeles County letter to the Assembly regarding this proposal.

**CSAC Efforts.** CSAC is undertaking a number of efforts on the issue, including:

**Collaboration:** Because homelessness is a local issue that crosses county and city boundaries, CSAC proposes to form a joint task force on Homeless Issues with the League of California Cities as noted above.

CSAC is also working with the County Behavioral Health Directors (CBHDA) and the County Welfare Directors Association (CWDA) on assessing the legislative proposals. While the proposed diversion of MHSA funding under the Senate’s No Place Like Home proposal is worrisome, the human services-related elements of the plan (more support for the Housing Support Program, etc.) have significant potential and are supported by human services directors.

**Education:** The CSAC Institute for Excellence in County Government presented an Emerging Issues course on homelessness on April 14 in Sacramento. The CSAC Legislative Conference will also feature a workshop on homelessness on Wednesday, May 18 from 10:15 to 11:45 at the Sacramento Convention Center. Representatives from the state, counties, and the League of California Cities will participate in the roundtable discussion on homeless issues.

**Engagement:** CSAC will continue to engage with both the Senate and Assembly to ensure the county voice is part of the process and any final package or compromise. The Senate Budget and Fiscal Review Committee held an informational hearing titled “Challenges and Opportunities: Homelessness in California’s Local Communities” on
February 25, hearing testimony from a variety of state and national experts on the issue, including Yolo County Supervisor Oscar Villegas on behalf of CSAC.

CSAC will also continue to work with Los Angeles County and the League of California Cities on issues of mutual interest.

Attachments:

Senate No Place Like Home Proposal (January 4, 2016)
Assembly Affordable Housing Proposal (April 25, 2016)
Los Angeles State Matching Fund Program to Combat Homelessness (April 11, 2016)

Resources


CSAC Staff Contacts:

Matthew Cate, Executive Director, mcate@counties.org, (916) 327-7500 Ext. 506
DeAnn Baker, Deputy Executive Director, Legislative Affairs, dbaker@counties.org, (916) 327-7500 Ext. 509
Farrah McDaid Ting, CSAC Legislative Representative: fmcdaid@counties.org, (916) 650-8110
Kiana Valentine, CSAC Legislative Representative: kvalentine@counties.org, (916) 327-7500 Ext. 566
Darby Kernan, CSAC Legislative Representative: dkernan@counties.org, (916) 327-7500 Ext. 537
Elizabeth Marsolais, CSAC Legislative Analyst: emarsolais@counties.org, (916) 327-7500 Ext. 524
April 14, 2016

Honorable Adrin Nazarian  
Assemblymember Forty-sixth District  
Chair, Assembly Budget Sub-4 Committee  
State Capitol, Room 4146  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Assemblymember Nazarian,

As you know, California faces an affordable housing crisis that tarnishes the promise of our great state. When the costs of our record high rents and home prices are factored in we have the highest poverty rate in the nation. Since 2008, median rents in California have increased by over 20 percent, as median incomes have dropped by 8 percent. Over 1.5 million low-income families lack access to an affordable rental home, and our state includes 20 percent of our country’s homeless population. As affordable housing production by the private sector has significantly diminished, and the state has significantly reduced its investment in affordable housing, now more than ever we need to prioritize the production of new affordable units.

California’s homeownership rate is at a record low of 54 percent, as skyrocketing home prices have outpaced median incomes. In high cost areas, teachers, nurses, firefighters, police officers and other middle class public servants can no longer afford to live in the communities they serve. The imbalance of affordable housing near jobs has far-reaching negative impacts, exacerbating traffic congestion, climate change, and income inequality.

A precipitous drop in state and federal divestment in affordable housing has intensified these problems. With the elimination of California’s redevelopment agencies and the exhaustion of state housing bonds, California has reduced its funding for the development and preservation of affordable homes by 79 percent - approximately $1.7 billion a year. No permanent or sustainable source of funding has been created to compensate for this loss. The housing crisis has contributed to a growing homeless population, increased pressure on local social safety nets, created an unstable development and construction marketplace, and has led to the departure of tens of thousands of long-time Californians.

While we continue work on a permanent funding source for affordable housing in the state, Californians cannot wait. Immediate action is needed. We need to make a strategic investment in this budget year to address our housing crisis.
Investing one-time surplus funding in affordable housing production makes sense. Housing does not require ongoing state maintenance or investment, but creates long term benefits: our state programs require state-funded housing to be provided to lower-income families for 55 years. Investment in many state programs results in significant leverage of private, federal, and local investment. Furthermore:

- Affordable housing saves money -- on average, a single homeless Californian incurs $2,897 per month in county costs for emergency room visits and in-patient hospital stays as well as the costs of arrests and incarceration. Roughly 79 percent of these costs are cut when that person has an affordable home.
- Development creates jobs -- an estimated 29,000 jobs are created for every $500 million spent on affordable housing production.
- Affordable housing alleviates poverty -- California households with the lowest 25 percent of incomes spend 67 percent of their income on housing, leaving little left over for other essential needs.

As our state economy continues to rebound, we have begun restoring some of the cuts made to many of our state’s critical programs, but unfortunately, housing was not one of these areas. Affordable housing resources that were reduced to zero when redevelopment was eliminated must be among these restorations.

For these reasons, we request the following investment into the following key areas to address our housing affordability crisis:

**Rental Housing for Lower Income Working Families**

- $300 million for the Low income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC). This program funds the construction, rehabilitation, acquisition of multi-family rental housing for families and individuals at 60 percent of area median income (AMI) or below. This one time investment will leverage $550 million in federal 4% LIHTC and at least $400 million in federal tax-exempt bond authority.

- $200 million for the Multi-family Housing Program (MHP). This program funds the construction, rehabilitation, and acquisition of multi-family rental housing for families and individuals at 60 percent of AMI or below.

**Homeownership Opportunities and Rental Housing for Working Families**

- $200 million for the Local Funding Grants for Workforce Housing. This new program will provide funding to local governments for down payment assistance, homeownership assistance, rental housing, and to address displacement for individuals
and families. In high cost areas local governments could serve families that make up to 120 percent of AMI. The program would require local jurisdictions to provide a funding match.

- $200 million for CalHome. This program provides for grants and loans to local governments and nonprofit organizations for rehabilitation of existing homes, mortgage assistance, real property acquisition, site development, predevelopment, and construction period expenses of homeownership development projects, or permanent financing for mutual housing and cooperative developments. Within this program is the Self-Help Housing Program that provides grants to nonprofit organizations for construction supervision of groups of families building their own homes.

**Housing for Farmworkers and their Families**

- $50 million Joe Serna Farmworker Housing Grant Program. This program finances the new construction, rehabilitation, and acquisition of owner-occupied and rental units for agricultural workers, with a priority for lower income households.

- $25 million increase to the Farmworker Housing Tax Credit. This program funds the construction, rehabilitation, and acquisition of multi-family rental housing for farmworkers and their families who make up to 60 percent of AMI.

- $250,000 for the Napa County Farmworker Housing Centers. Napa County is not eligible for funding from the Office of Migrant Services program; however, to maintain the County's three farmworker housing centers, additional funding is needed.

**Seismic Retrofit of Soft-Story Homes**

- $60 million for Personal Income Tax Credit for Seismic Retrofit of Soft Story buildings. This program allows a credit equal to 30 percent of a qualified taxpayer's qualified costs incurred for seismic retrofit construction.

**Housing Assistance and Production for Homeless Individuals and Families**

- $200 million for Multi-Family Housing Program – Supportive Housing. This program funds the construction, rehabilitation, acquisition of rental housing with supportive services for families and individuals who are homeless or at-risk of homelessness whose incomes do not exceed 30 percent of AMI.

- $60 million for the Medi-Cal Housing Program. This new program would provide rental assistance for people who are homeless and enrolled in Medi-Cal served through a county's 1115 Waiver Whole Person Care Pilot Program. The federal government has authorized $1.5 billion in funding for funding for services for the Whole Person Care
Pilot Program. Ongoing funding for the program would come from future savings by Medi-Cal due to housing high-risk homeless clients.

- $40 million for the Emergency Shelter Grant Program. This program assists persons at risk of becoming homeless with homelessness prevention assistance and rapid rehousing.

We appreciate your consideration of this request and look forward to working with you to ensure that California's families are served through these vital housing programs.

Sincerely,

David Chiu, Chair
Housing and Community Development Committee

Tony Thurmond,
Assemblymember Fifteenth District
California State Assembly

Rob Bonta

Kevin Mullin

Bill Dodd
Cc: Speaker Anthony Rendon
    Assemblymember Philip Y. Ting

[Signature]
AY 18
Senate President pro Tempore Kevin de León Press Release:
Senate Announces “No Place Like Home” Initiative To Tackle Homelessness in California
Monday, January 4, 2016

California Senate Legislative Package to Prevent and Address Homelessness in our Local Communities

LOS ANGELES — To assist local communities in preventing and addressing homelessness, a bipartisan coalition of members from the California State Senate introduced a strategic and first-of-its kind “No Place like Home” initiative at a press conference at The Star Apartments on Skid Row in Los Angeles on Monday. This unprecedented policy framework amounting to over $2 billion in support builds on years of research and best practices and is guided by the core belief that no individual or family in California should ever experience the uncertainty and pain of living without a home.

“This bipartisan legislative package will help secure progress in tackling homelessness and provide a key to health and hope for many Californians who have no place to go.” said Senate President pro Tempore Kevin de León (D-Los Angeles). “Coming off the holiday season, I can think of no better way to start the legislative session than in Skid Row focused on lifting those without voices in our political process.”

“This is a tipping-point moment for mental health, homelessness, and Proposition 63 in California.” Said former Senate leader Darrell Steinberg, co-author of Proposition 63 (2004) – The Mental Health Services Act – and founder The Steinberg Institute. “Thanks to the leadership of this Senate, we have a historic opportunity to help local communities forge systemic long-term solutions, making a real difference in the lives of thousands of forgotten Californians.”

The Senate proposal is crafted with the understanding that fighting modern homelessness – with long-term solutions, not short-term Band-Aids – requires a localized approach sustained by a strategic statewide commitment.

The proposals will empower local governments with additional resources and flexibility to better serve homeless individuals and families, increase access to affordable housing, address the effects of income inequality and, and extend proven programs for homeless who are either disabled or in need of mental-health assistance.

California has the nation’s largest homeless population while ranking as the seventh largest economy of the world at the same time. The 114,000 total homeless people who live across our state make up 22 percent of the nation’s homeless population, with Los Angeles holding the dubious ranking of the homeless capital of the country with nearly 42,000 homeless residents.

The Senate legislative package on homelessness re-purposes Proposition 63 (2004) – The Mental Health Services Act – bond money and creatively leverages billions of additional dollars from other local, state, and federal funding to achieve the following goals:
Housing:

- 2 billion bond to construct permanent supportive housing for chronically homeless persons with mental illness.
- $200 million, over 4 years, to provide supportive housing in the shorter-term, rent subsidies, while the permanent housing is constructed or rehabilitated.
- Support for two special housing programs that will assist families:

The “Bringing Families Home” pilot project, a county matching grant program to reduce homelessness among families that are part of the child welfare system.

The CalWORKs Housing Support Program, which provides housing and support services for CalWORKs families in danger of homelessness.

Income support and outreach:

- An increase in Supplemental Security Income/State Supplementary Payment (SSI/SSP) program grants which provide income support for the aged, blind, and disabled poor who cannot work.

Rates of homelessness are higher for persons with disabilities who cannot work; SSI/SSP is intended to help them make ends meet, and a large portion of grants usually goes toward rent.

These increases will assist about 1.3 million low-income Californians (72% with disabilities and 28% who are elderly).

- A one-time investment to incentivize local governments to boost outreach efforts and advocacy to get more eligible poor people enrolled in the SSI/SSP program.

The federal government covers 72% of the total costs of the SSI/SSP program, so state and local benefits are multiplied significantly for each newly eligible recipient.

California has more than one third of the nation’s chronically homeless – those with mental illness or other significant problems, and an even higher percentage among homeless women. Of the 28,200 chronically homeless in California, nearly 85 percent are unsheltered with this group absorbing the greatest amount of taxpayers’ resources, often topping $100,000 annually per person in public costs for emergency room visits, hospital stays, law enforcement, and other social services.

The Senate proposal supports a “housing first” strategy which many homeless advocates and social service experts across the state prefer because it provides safe, secure housing creates an environment that allows for wrap-around services, such as mental health treatment, to take hold. Studies show homelessness aggravates mental illness, making it more difficult to reach and house those with the greatest need of shelter and treatment.
There are local programs, such as Project 25 in San Diego, which are successfully housing, treating, and transitioning chronically homeless clients back into society. Project 25 is a 3-year-pilot program funded by the United Way of San Diego and led by St. Vincent de Paul which uses the housing first model as a means of intensive case management and delivery of psychiatric and medical care to several dozen clients. Project 25 is paying dividends for the taxpayers. In two years the annual public costs related to participants of Project 25 were reduced nearly 63 percent, to $1.6 million from $4.3 million.

- See more at: http://sd24.senate.ca.gov/news/2016-01-04-senate-announces-%E2%80%9Cno-place-home%E2%80%9D-initiative-tackle-homelessness-california#sthash.X0BxC95o.dpuf

-End-
The Honorable Adrién Nazarian, Chair
Assembly Budget Subcommittee #4
State Capitol, Room 4146
Sacramento, California 95814

RE: Housing: Budget Proposal - $100 Million State Matching Fund Program to Combat Homelessness for Fiscal Year 2016-17 - SPONSOR

Dear Assembly Member Nazarian,

The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors sponsors a State Budget proposal to request $100 million in one-time State General Fund in FY 2016-17, to establish a new State Matching Fund Program to Combat Homelessness. The Program would provide 50/50 matching funds for counties and cities that commit their own funds, and/or federal housing subsidies that they administer. Counties and cities could use the funding for a combination of highly-effective strategies as referenced in the attached document.

In Los Angeles County, there has been a significant increase in homelessness, especially those living in tents, makeshift shelters, and vehicles. According to the Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority, the County's homeless population was 39,461 in 2013 and 44,359 in 2015; an increase of 12.4 percent. The homeless population in tents, makeshift shelters, and vehicles increased by 85 percent from 2013 (5,335) to 2015 (9,335).

On February 9, 2016, the Board unanimously approved a comprehensive plan comprised of 47 strategies to combat homelessness throughout the County. These strategies were developed by the Chief Executive Office’s County Homeless Initiative, in collaboration with 25 County departments, 30 cities and more than 100 community organizations. To launch the implementation of these strategies, the Board approved $100 million in new one-time funding. Additionally, the Board directed the Housing Authority of the County of Los Angeles to dedicate an estimated 850 Housing Choice Vouchers for permanent supportive housing for the chronically homeless in FY 2016-17 and FY 2017-18. The County is prepared to leverage these funds to access State matching funds if this proposal is enacted.

Therefore, we urge your support of this proposal, which would strengthen local governments' efforts to combat homelessness.

Sincerely,

Donna Seitz
Acting Chief Legislative Representative

Martha Guerrero
Legislative Representative

“To Enrich Lives Through Effective And Caring Service”
STATE MATCHING FUND PROGRAM TO COMBAT HOMELESSNESS
FY 2016-17 BUDGET PROPOSAL

The State can make a critical contribution to helping homeless individuals and families by establishing a new State Matching Fund Program to Combat Homelessness, which would be funded with $100 million in one-time State General Fund in FY 2016-17. The proposal would provide 50/50 matching funds for counties and cities that commit their own funds, and/or federal housing subsidies they administer.

Counties and cities could use the funding for any combination of the following five highly-effective strategies.

**Five Key Strategies to Combat Homelessness**

- **Subsidized Housing for Homeless Disabled Individuals Pursuing Supplemental Security Income (SSI).** Providing housing for homeless disabled individuals significantly increases the likelihood that they will qualify for SSI and sustain housing without a subsidy (or with a modest subsidy) upon approval for SSI. For individuals approved for SSI, housing subsidies can be recouped through Interim Assistance Reimbursement, and the recouped funding can be used to provide a housing subsidy for additional homeless disabled individuals pursuing SSI. The State would match county and city contributions for housing subsidies, and could recover its contribution for individuals approved for SSI and use the recovered funding for subsidies for other disabled homeless individuals.

- **Rapid Re-Housing.** These programs target homeless families and individuals who have low- to moderate- barriers to maintaining permanent housing. Rapid re-housing is the most effective and efficient intervention for more than 50 percent of homeless individuals and families based on available data. With short-term financial assistance, case management and targeted supportive services, homeless families and individuals are likely to secure an income adequate to maintain unsubsidized permanent housing. The State would match county and city contributions for rapid re-housing for homeless families and individuals who have a good chance of being able to pay their own rent in six to twelve months, typically through employment.

- **Housing Choice Vouchers for Permanent Supportive Housing.** Federal Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers (HCV) are the primary source of permanent housing for chronically homeless adults, the homeless population most in need of permanent supportive housing. Supportive housing combines a permanent housing subsidy with case management, health, mental health, substance use disorder treatment, and other services. Public housing authorities can dedicate HCVs that become available through routine turnover for this population; however, funding is needed for the associated services. The State can incentivize public housing authorities to dedicate Section 8 vouchers to chronically homeless adults by providing $500 per month per person or household for supportive services for three years.
• **Interim/Bridge Housing for those Exiting Institutions.** Institutions and major systems, including hospitals, jails, prisons, and foster care, need to discharge people with appropriate planning and sufficient resources to ensure that they have housing upon discharge. Various forms of interim/bridge housing need to be available to promote successful reintegration into the community and to avoid recidivism. These housing options include shelter beds, stabilization beds, shared recovery housing, recuperative care beds, and board and care. The State would match county and city contributions for up to three months of bridge housing for individuals exiting jails, hospitals, prison, foster care, juvenile probation, and the military.

• **Enhance the Emergency Shelter System.** The emergency shelter system should be an effective point-of-access to and component of an integrated homeless services system. An adequate crisis housing system ensures that individuals, families, and youth have a safe place to stay in the short-term, with access to resources and services that will help them exit homelessness quickly. Emergency shelters must operate 24 hours a day, seven days a week to effectively triage, assess, and connect clients to housing, health and social services. The State would match county and city contributions to enable emergency shelters to remain open 24/7 and function as an effective platform for access to ongoing housing and services.

**How the State Matching Fund Program Would Work**

Specifically, cities and counties throughout the State would be able to submit applications for this funding through September 30, 2016, and could use the funding for any combination of any of the above five authorized strategies. City and county applications would be required to document approval of the local funding and/or Housing Choice Vouchers which would be matched by the State.

**Conclusion**

The State has an unprecedented opportunity to support and partner with counties and cities in combatting homelessness statewide. The provision of State matching funds will assist and incentivize localities to respond effectively to the crisis of homelessness which is significantly impacting communities across California.
May 19, 2016

To: CSAC Officers
    CSAC Board of Directors

From: Judy Morris, CSAC Treasurer
      Matt Cate, Executive Director

As Treasurer of CSAC, I present to you the proposed budget for the 2016-17 fiscal year. In conjunction with the Executive Director, the attached revenue and spending plan for the upcoming year was approved by the Executive Committee April 7, 2016 and is hereby submitted for your adoption. The budget reflects the expenditures needed to advance CSAC’s mission of serving California’s 58 counties through effective advocacy, training, and member services programs.

Recommendation: Adopt the proposed FY 2016-17 CSAC budget.

CSAC’s fiscal foundation remains strong. FY 2015-16 year-end fund balance is projected to exceed $700,000 due to growth in revenues and continued implementation of operational efficiencies. This enables the payoff of the CSAC building loan, eliminating all debt while still meeting the Operating Reserve Policy at the outset of FY 2016-17.

The proposed budget is designed to meet the following organizational priorities:

- Align expenditures to projected revenues while meeting critical objectives across all areas including advocacy, communications, member services, the corporate program, and the CSAC Institute;
- Support all advocacy priorities, county visits and regional meetings, the Challenge Award program, and the CSAC Institute campuses;
- Set-aside five percent of revenues to allow appropriate operating margin and additions to reserves;
- Provide authority to the Executive Director for potential merit increases; and
- Elimination of all debt while retaining a healthy reserve balance for long-term organizational sustainability.

Key changes that have continued to strengthen CSAC’s fiscal operations over the past year include:

- Implementation of an Operating Reserve Policy to establish a 6-month Operating Reserve target that has been met.
- Implementation of a Procurement Policy to establish periodic competitive bidding where appropriate to ensure CSAC continues to get the most responsive and cost effective services from our service providers.
- Internal fiscal risk assessment that has resulted in improvement of internal accounting policies and procedures.
Highlights of the proposed CSAC FY 2016-17

Revenues

- No dues increase -- dues remain flat for the fourth consecutive year and continue to represent approximately one-third of total revenues to support key priorities and operations.
- Finance Corporation contribution remains at $3.5 million, continuing the $200,000 augmentation from FY 2015-16.
- Corporate Associates is expected to generate $415,000 in net revenue. This reflects a 20 percent increase over current year projected revenue. Since FY 2013-14, the Corporate Partners Program has increased its net support for CSAC operations from $30,000 to $415,000 – a $384,000 increase.
- Continuation of CSAC Institute satellite programs in Merced and Contra Costa. After two successful years, the San Diego satellite will move to a new southern California location. The budget also assumes continuation of the CCISDA credential program for IT Directors and positions the Institute budget to move under the California Counties Foundation.

Expenses

- Decrease in facility debt service costs of $108,000 due to paying off the CSAC building loan using FY 2015-16 fund balance and reserve funds. This results in elimination of all debt.
- Increase in budgeted salary and benefits authority of $200,000 (3.7%) to align to projected benefit costs and to provide the Executive Director resources to increase existing salaries as merited.
- Increase the budgeted contribution to the CSAC Institute by $10,000 to update the staffing model to align to its continued growth and success. This enables sustainable support for recent satellite and IT Directors Association expansions and expands responsibilities for the support staff role to better support Dean Bill Chiat.

Reserves

- Projected reserves beginning FY 2016-17 are $4.4 million which exceeds the 6-month reserve policy target of $4.3 million. This includes paying off the building debt in FY 2015-16 and ending FY 2016-17 with a projected reserve of $4.8 million.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Actual FY 14-15</th>
<th>Budget FY 15-16</th>
<th>Year End FY 15-16</th>
<th>Budget FY 16-17</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revenues:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership Dues</td>
<td>3,430,506</td>
<td>3,430,506</td>
<td>3,430,506</td>
<td>3,430,506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance Corp Participation</td>
<td>3,300,000</td>
<td>3,500,000</td>
<td>3,750,000</td>
<td>3,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rental Income</td>
<td>274,059</td>
<td>170,076</td>
<td>171,786</td>
<td>168,417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Miscellaneous</td>
<td>518,198</td>
<td>573,400</td>
<td>603,756</td>
<td>579,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSAC Conferences</td>
<td>397,779</td>
<td>367,500</td>
<td>404,060</td>
<td>413,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEAC</td>
<td>142,880</td>
<td>146,452</td>
<td>146,452</td>
<td>159,565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate Associates</td>
<td>744,703</td>
<td>975,000</td>
<td>872,100</td>
<td>929,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Litigation Program</td>
<td>417,586</td>
<td>432,276</td>
<td>432,276</td>
<td>432,276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Revenues</strong></td>
<td>9,225,711</td>
<td>9,595,210</td>
<td>9,810,935</td>
<td>9,612,564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expenditures:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salaries/Benefits</td>
<td>4,971,408</td>
<td>5,363,578</td>
<td>5,202,463</td>
<td>5,563,381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff Outreach</td>
<td>127,061</td>
<td>129,200</td>
<td>175,637</td>
<td>166,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership Outreach</td>
<td>94,417</td>
<td>85,000</td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td>75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NACo Meetings &amp; Travel</td>
<td>110,942</td>
<td>111,000</td>
<td>112,532</td>
<td>120,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NACo 2nd VP Campaign</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Affairs/Communications</td>
<td>39,364</td>
<td>53,250</td>
<td>48,334</td>
<td>50,350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSAC Conferences</td>
<td>495,579</td>
<td>446,849</td>
<td>522,370</td>
<td>559,716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilities</td>
<td>566,039</td>
<td>400,996</td>
<td>616,252</td>
<td>284,747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Operations</td>
<td>304,609</td>
<td>360,324</td>
<td>298,329</td>
<td>286,810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donations</td>
<td>115,000</td>
<td>115,000</td>
<td>115,000</td>
<td>115,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEAC</td>
<td>137,307</td>
<td>146,452</td>
<td>146,452</td>
<td>159,565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside Contracts</td>
<td>561,738</td>
<td>539,000</td>
<td>658,654</td>
<td>647,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate Associates</td>
<td>483,551</td>
<td>495,377</td>
<td>507,307</td>
<td>510,255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Litigation Program</td>
<td>417,586</td>
<td>432,276</td>
<td>432,276</td>
<td>432,276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSAC Institute</td>
<td>111,260</td>
<td>170,094</td>
<td>140,955</td>
<td>180,728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Expenditures</strong></td>
<td>8,535,861</td>
<td>8,848,396</td>
<td>9,066,561</td>
<td>9,161,528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>YEAR END FUND BALANCE</strong></td>
<td>689,850</td>
<td>746,814</td>
<td>744,374</td>
<td>451,036</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


## FY 2016-17 Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACCT#</th>
<th>EXPLANATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>INCOME:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEMBERSHIP DUES</td>
<td>ANNUAL DUES FROM COUNTIES. NO INCREASE SCHEDULED THIS YEAR.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FINANCE CORP PARTICIPATION</td>
<td>CSAC FINANCE CORPORATION CONTRIBUTIONS TO CSAC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RENTAL INCOME</td>
<td>RENTAL INCOME FOR 1100 K STREET.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADMINISTRATIVE MISCELLANEOUS</td>
<td>1) ADMINISTRATION FEES COLLECTED FROM CSAC AFFILIATES FOR PAYROLL AND BENEFIT SERVICES. 2) SALES FOR CSAC ROSTERS, MAILING LIST AND LABELS. 3) PRINTING AND COPYING REVENUE GENERATED FROM THE CSAC PRINT SHOP. 4) INTEREST INCOME FROM CHECKING ACCTS AND CALTRUST ACCOUNTS. 5) CONTRACT FOR COMPUTER SERVICES WITH LA COUNTY. 6) SOFT DRINK COMMISSIONS AND FEES FROM JOB ADVERTISING ON CSAC WEBSITE.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSAC CONFERENCES</td>
<td>REGISTRATION FEES FOR CSAC ANNUAL CONFERENCE AND LEGISLATIVE CONFERENCE.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEAC</td>
<td>CEAC CONTRACT.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CORPORATE ASSOCIATES</td>
<td>CORPORATE ASSOCIATES MEMBERSHIP DUES AND SPONSORSHIP FOR ANNUAL CONFERENCE AND OTHER EVENTS. EXHIBITOR FEES.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LITIGATION PROGRAM</td>
<td>FUNDED BY A SEPARATE FEE TO SUPPORT CSAC'S ADVOCACY IN STATE AND FEDERAL COURTS, AND TO COORDINATE LITIGATION INVOLVING MULTIPLE COUNTIES. ALSO INCLUDES A $50,000 TRANSFER FROM CSAC GENERAL FUND FOR IN-HOUSE GENERAL COUNSEL LEGAL SERVICES.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EXPENSES:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SALARIES/BENEFITS</td>
<td>1) SALARIES REFLECT AUTHORITY FOR POTENTIAL MERIT INCREASES. 2) EMPLOYEES THAT ARE TIER 1 ARE CURRENTLY PAYING 18-20% OF EMPLOYEE PORTION OF SBCERA, TIER 2 PAY 100% OF EMPLOYEE PORTION. 3) BENEFITS TO INCLUDE HEALTH, DENTAL, VISION, LIFE AND WORKERS COMP. 4) PAYROLL TAX. 5) AUTO ALLOWANCE 6) ANNUAL EMPLOYEE WORKSHOP 7) PARKING 8) 50% OF WELLNESS PROGRAM.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAFF OUTREACH</td>
<td>INCLUDES ALL IN AND OUT-OF-TOWN BUSINESS EXPENSES FOR LEGISLATIVE AND ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF. EXPENSES INCREASED DUE TO THE ADDITIONAL COUNTY VISIT STAFF IS DOING.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEADERSHIP OUTREACH</td>
<td>ALL BUSINESS EXPENSES FOR CSAC BOARD OF DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE AND OFFICERS.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCT#</td>
<td>EXPLANATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NACO MEETINGS &amp; TRAVEL</td>
<td>COSTS ASSOCIATED FOR ALL LEGISLATIVE, ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF AND BOARD MEMBERS TO ATTEND NACO SUPPORTED EVENTS. ADDITIONAL COST BUDGETED TO COVER STAFF TRAVEL TO ANNUAL MEETING TO ASSIST IN 2ND VP CAMPAIGN.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUBLIC AFFAIRS/COMMUNICATIONS</td>
<td>1) ALL COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH PRODUCING &amp; DISTRIBUTING THE ROSTER 2) CHALLENGE AWARDS 3) LEGISLATIVE BULLETIN 4) WEB SITE. 5) WRITTEN, AUDIO AND VIDEO COMMUNICATIONS.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSAC CONFERENCES</td>
<td>ALL COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH LEGISLATIVE, REGIONAL AND ANNUAL CONFERENCE. ALSO INCLUDES STAFF SUPPORT.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FACILITIES</td>
<td>ALL COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE MAINTENANCE OF 1100 K STREET. COSTS INCLUDE REPAIRS, UTILITIES, PHONES, INSURANCE, JANITORIAL, AND PROPERTY TAXES.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFFICE OPERATIONS</td>
<td>ALL COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH OPERATIONS SUCH AS 1) CELL PHONES 2) MEMBERSHIP FEES 3) OFFICE SUPPLIES 4) POSTAGE/DELIVERY 5) R&amp;M AND PURCHASES OF COMPUTERS AND EQUIPMENT 6) COPIERS AND BUSINESS EQUIPMENT.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATIONS</td>
<td>CONTRIBUTIONS TO INSTITUTE FOR LOCAL GOVERNMENT(ILG), CSAC RESEARCH AFFILIATE.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEAC</td>
<td>CEAC EXPENDITURES.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OUTSIDE CONTRACTS</td>
<td>LEGAL CONSULTING, ACCOUNTING SERVICE AND PROFESSIONAL SERVICES SUCH AS WATERMAN CONTRACT AND IT SERVICES.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CORPORATE ASSOCIATES</td>
<td>ALL COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH RUNNING CORPORATE ASSOCIATES PROGRAM INCLUDING SALARY AND BENEFITS FOR PROGRAM MANAGER.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LITIGATION PROGRAM</td>
<td>ALL COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH CSAC'S LITIGATION COORDINATION PROGRAM, AND IN-HOUSE GENERAL COUNSEL LEGAL SERVICES.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSAC INSTITUTE</td>
<td>CSAC'S CONTRIBUTION TO THE INSTITUTE TO ASSIST IN THE FACILITATION OF THE PROGRAM.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CSAC End-of-Year Reserve, 2011-12 to 2016-17

2015-16 Required Reserve: $4,284,843

Year 2011-12: $1,122,109
Year 2012-13: $1,204,331
Year 2013-14: $1,291,376
Year 2014-15: $4,786,830
Year 2015-16 Projected: $4,429,108
Year 2016-17 Projected: $4,880,143
Date: May 19, 2016

To: CSAC Board of Directors

From: Matt Cate, Executive Director
       Jennifer Henning, Litigation Coordinator

Re: Consideration of Proposed Amendments to the CSAC Constitution

__________________________
Recommendation: Adopt the attached proposed changes to the CSAC Constitution.

__________________________
Background: At its December 3, 2015 meeting, the CSAC Board of Directors reached consensus in support of draft amendments to the CSAC Constitution, and directed staff to bring the proposal back to the Board at this meeting for final approval. All members of CSAC have received written notice of the proposed changes at least 30 days before this Board’s final vote, as required.
Proposed Amendments to CSAC Constitution

Amendment #1: Article 8(B)(1)

Current:
Each county board that maintains membership of its supervisors in the Association shall designate one director to serve on the Board. Each such director shall be a member of the county board and shall be designated by the county board pursuant to its normal rules and procedures prior to the Annual Meeting of the Association, to serve a one-year term commencing with the Annual Meeting.

Proposed Amendment:
Each county board that maintains membership of its supervisors in the Association shall nominate one or more directors to serve on the Board. Each such director shall be a member of the county board and shall be nominated by the county board pursuant to its normal rules and procedures prior to the Annual Meeting of the Association, to serve a one-year term commencing with the Annual Meeting. Nominations shall be submitted to the Executive Committee, which may consider only those persons nominated by a county board. The Executive Committee shall appoint one director for each member county from the nominations received.

Amendment #2: Article 8(D)

Current:
D. QUORUM AND VOTING REQUIREMENTS.
1. In General. Forty percent of the members of the Board of Directors shall constitute a quorum of the Board for the transaction of all business. Except as otherwise provided below in this Article and in Article 16, all actions of the Board shall require the affirmative votes of a majority of the directors present at a meeting duly held at which a quorum is present. If a quorum is initially present at a meeting of the Board, the Board may continue to transact business notwithstanding the subsequent lack of a quorum, provided that such action is approved by at least thirteen members of the Board of Directors, subject, however, to the requirements of Articles 9, Section B and 16. A majority of the directors present, whether or not constituting a quorum, may adjourn any meeting to another time and place.

2. Ballot Propositions. Notwithstanding Paragraph 1 above, adoption of a position on a ballot proposition (oppose or support) shall require the affirmative vote of at least fifty percent plus one of the member counties.

Proposed Amendment: Insert--
3. Financial Involvement in Issue Campaigns. Notwithstanding Paragraph 1 above, financial participation in a campaign supporting or opposing a ballot measure shall require a 2/3 vote of the Board.
May 19, 2016

To: CSAC Officers  
   CSAC Board of Directors

From: Matt Cate, Executive Director

RE: Appoint New CSCDA Commissioner

**Recommendation:** Appoint existing commissioner alternate Ron Holly as commissioner of CSCDA; consider appointment to fill alternate commissioner vacancy.

**Background:** The California Statewide Communities Development Authority (CSCDA) was created in 1988, under California’s Joint Exercise of Powers Act, to provide California’s local governments with an effective tool for the timely financing of community-based public benefit projects. CSCDA is sponsored by CSAC and the League of California Cities (LCC) and helps more than 500 cities, counties, and special districts build community infrastructure, provide affordable housing, create jobs, and make access available to quality healthcare and education. It also serves as an important revenue source to the CSAC Finance Corporation which in turn provides support to the CSAC budget and services to Counties.

CSCDA is governed by a 7-member Commission, four appointed by CSAC and three appointed by LCC. There is currently one vacancy on the Commission due to Terry Schutten (Executive Director of the CAOAC) stepping down after seven years of service to CSCDA. CSAC thanks Terry Schutten for his service and recommends filling the vacancy with current CSCDA Alternate Commissioner Ron Holly, Chief Deputy Auditor-Controller of Monterey County. The Board may also consider filling the ensuing CSCDA Alternate Commissioner vacancy.
MEMORANDUM

To: Supervisor Richard Forster, President, and Members of the CSAC Board of Directors

From: Jennifer Henning, Litigation Coordinator

Date: May 19, 2016

Re: Litigation Coordination Program Update

This memorandum will provide you with information on the Litigation Coordination Program’s new case activities since your last Board meeting. Briefs filed on CSAC’s behalf are available at: http://www.counties.org/csac-litigation-coordination-program.

**Alana M. v. State of California**


Plaintiff was injured while in a campsite at a State park, when a tree on unimproved property adjacent to the campsite fell and struck her. The First District affirmed summary judgment in favor of the State, finding that the State was immune under Government Code section 831.2 because the injury was caused by a natural condition of unimproved property. The court concluded that “the location of the occurrence [injury] is not material to the statute,” and the fact that the injury occurred on an improved portion of the property “does not take this case outside the ambit of immunity.” CSAC’s publication request was granted.

**American Bankers Management Co. v. Heryford**

Pending in the Eastern District of California (filed Feb. 16, 2016)(2:16-cv-00312)

The Trinity County District Attorney brought suit on behalf of the People against American Bankers and other banks alleging that they engaged in unfair business practices in violation of Business & Professions Code section 17200 by improperly marketing and selling various fee-based products and services to California credit card holders. To assist in the prosecution of this civil action, the DA hired outside counsel on contingency with the experience, resources, and expertise necessary to litigate this case against large and well-resourced corporations. The DA maintained the control over outside contingency counsel required by the Supreme Court in the lead paint case (*County of Santa Clara v. Atlantic Richfield*). But the bank then filed this separate lawsuit against the DA in federal court alleging that his hiring of outside counsel on a contingency fee basis violated its due process rights under the federal constitution. The case is pending.
in federal district court. CSAC will file a brief in support of the Trinity County District Attorney.

**Armato v. City of Manhattan Beach**

Pending in the Second District Court of Appeal (filed Oct. 23, 2015)(B267734)

This case raises the question of whether a local agency issuing a development permit has discretion to delegate authority to the planning department to determine whether a modification of the approved permit is minor (i.e., in substantial compliance with the approved permit) or requires an amendment to the permit with public notice and hearing. In the case, the city approved a project to demolish and existing building and erect a new one in its place. Opposing neighbors appealed, but the city council upheld the decision. The neighbors then filed this action, and thereafter the city planning director approved a modification to the project (adding square footage to the basement). The modification was made under the terms of the permit, which allowed minor changes to be approved by the planning director without public notice or a hearing. The complaint was then amended to challenge this practice. The trial court upheld the city’s decision to issue the initial permit, but found that there was no authority to delegate permit modification, even for insubstantial project changes, to the planning director without notice and hearing. CSAC will file a brief in support of the city.

**City of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (Anderson-Barker)**

Pending in the Second District Court of Appeal (filed Jan. 13, 2016)(B269525)

Anderson-Barker challenged a decision by the City of Los Angeles to withhold certain records in response to a Public Records Act request. Anderson-Barker filed a writ, and immediately after the city filed its answer, Anderson-Barker served special interrogatories, requests for production of documents, form interrogatories, and requests for admissions. The city objected to discovery, arguing that the Public Records Act itself (Gov. Code §§ 6258, 6259) provides the exclusive procedure for reviewing denied records, and therefore the Civil Discovery Act does not apply. The trial court disagreed and granted the motion to compel compliance with discovery. The city has filed a writ with the Second Appellate District asking the court to resolve the question of whether the Civil Discovery Act applies to Public Records Act challenges, or whether the exclusive remedy is found in sections 6258 and 6259. The Court of Appeal issued an order to show cause, and CSAC filed a brief in support of Los Angeles.

**County of San Bernardino v. PERB (SBCPAA)**

Order of the Fourth Appellate District (Mar. 23, 2016)(E063736), petition for review pending (filed Apr. 4, 2016)(S233494)

San Bernardino County challenged the County Public Attorneys Association's practice of compelling Deputy Public Defenders to be represented by Deputy District Attorneys in performance-related investigations by the Public Defender. Both the District Attorney and the Public Defender objected to this practice and adopted a policy prohibiting it. The Association filed an unfair practice charge with PERB, alleging the county was violating the right to representation of union members. PERB agreed with the prohibition on a District Attorney representing a Public Defender in misconduct reviews. But rather than concluding that the Deputy Public Defender would be obligated to find a valid
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representative, PERB concluded that the Public Defender was obligated to exercise the option of foregoing the interview. Thus, the Public Defender is essentially prohibited from interviewing her deputies in disciplinary investigations. The county filed a writ petition, but the petition was denied, with only a brief statement of the court’s rationale. CSAC filed a brief in support of the county in the Court of Appeal, and has also filed a letter in support of the county’s petition for Supreme Court review.

**County of San Diego v. Commission on State Mandates**
Pending in the Fourth District Court of Appeal, Division One (filed Aug. 19, 2015)(D068657)

San Diego, Los Angeles, Sacramento, Orange and San Bernardino counties filed a petition for writ of mandate seeking to overturn the Commission on State Mandates decision on redetermination concluding that after “Jessica’s Law” activities related to civil commitment procedures for the civil detention and treatment of sexually violent predators following completion of the individual’s criminal sentence for certain sex-related crimes are no longer reimbursable. The counties argued that the redetermination process itself (Gov. Code, § 17570) is unconstitutional, and that the Commission’s decision violates article XIII B, section 6 of the California Constitution. The counties also argued that Jessica’s Law did not amount to a “subsequent change in law” that would permit the redetermination or support a finding that reimbursement is no longer required. The court denied the petition, rejecting the counties’ constitutional challenges to section 17570. Specifically, the court found that the law does not (1) conflict with the intent and purposes of article XIII B, section 6 of the California Constitution, nor (2) interfere with quasi-judicial function of the commission or violate separation of powers principles, and (3) the phrase “subsequent change in law” is not constitutionally vague or overbroad. The counties have appealed, and CSAC will file a brief in support of the counties.

**Hawaii Wildlife Fund v. County of Maui**
Pending the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals (filed Dec. 14, 2015)(15-17447)

The County operates a wastewater treatment facility that releases treated wastewater into four underground injection control wells. It is undisputed that wastewater from the wells moves through unconfined groundwater and eventually reaches the ocean within about 10 months. Citizen groups sued claiming the County needed an NPDES permit under the Clean Water Act for its injection of treated wastewater into the wells, despite the fact that neither the State NPDES permitting authority nor the federal EPA required an NPDES permit during the planning process. The district court ruled the County violated the Clean Water Act because its treated wastewater reached navigable water without an NPDES permit. The court applied a “conduit theory” to impose liability, holding that the unconfined groundwater acted as a conduit conveying pollutants from the point source – the permitted UIC wells – to the ocean. Under the conduit theory, any unpermitted release of pollutants into groundwater that migrates to hydrologically connected navigable waters violates the CWA. The county has appealed, and CSAC has filed a brief in support.
**Kirola v. City and County of San Francisco**

Pending in the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeal (filed Dec. 23, 2014)(14-17521)

Plaintiff, a mobility-impaired individual, brought a class action against San Francisco alleging that the city’s failure to eliminate access barriers or ensure accessibility to the city’s facilities and right-of-ways (sidewalks, curbs, libraries, swimming pools, etc.) violated the ADA and related state civil rights statutes. At the bench trial, the city presented detailed evidence of its comprehensive effort to ensure that disabled persons have meaningful access to its services and programs, consistent with the ADA and related laws. By contrast, plaintiff only presented a limited number of barriers she faced within the city. The court ruled in favor of the city, concluding that the proper unit of analysis under Title II of the ADA is programs and services, not the individual sites at which they are offered. As such, it is possible for a program, when viewed in its entirety, to be in compliance with the ADA, even if some aspects of facilities where the programs are offered are inaccessible. Further, a plaintiff bringing an action under Title II of the ADA cannot establish standing by merely pointing to a few isolated access barriers in her neighborhood, but must show that the barriers she encountered amounted to a wholesale denial of meaningful access to the challenged program, service, or activity, when viewed in its entirety. Plaintiff has appealed. CSAC has filed a brief in support of San Francisco.

**Mercury Casualty Co. v. City of Pasadena**

Pending in the Second District Court of Appeal (filed Nov. 24, 2015)(B268452)(B266959)

During a windstorm that damaged more than 5,500 trees, a city-owned street tree fell and damaged a private residence. Mercury paid benefits to the homeowners pursuant to their homeowner’s insurance policy, and then sued the city for inverse condemnation. The trial court ruled against the city, rejecting the arguments that (1) the tree was not a “public improvement” subject to inverse condemnation, and (2) there was no causation since the windstorm and the homeowner gardening around the tree were superseding causes. The city has appealed, and CSAC has filed a brief in support.

**San Diego Housing Commission v. PERB**


This case, along with a companion case out of Riverside County, are the local government challenge to AB 646 (2011), the bill that requires cities and counties to participate in mandatory factfinding after a declaration of impasse in labor negotiations. Though the factfinding is not binding, it does significantly slow the process and delays the ability of the local agency to impose its last, best and final offer. The question raised in the case is how broadly AB 646 applies. PERB concluded that mandatory factfinding applies to impasses over any bargainable issue. The San Diego County Superior Court found PERB’s view “clearly erroneous,” and adopted the local agency view that AB 646 only applies to impasses over the terms of an actual MOU. But the Fourth District has reversed, concluding that the factfinding provisions apply to impasses arising during the negotiation of any bargainable matter. In large part, the court relied on PERB’s own interpretation of AB 646, rejecting our argument that the ordinary deference afforded to an agency interpreting its governing statute do not apply here since the interpretation was adopted the purpose of assisting PERB in this litigation. CSAC will file a brief in support of the petitions for Supreme Court review that are planned.
Wallace v. County of Stanislaus

Plaintiff brought this disability discrimination case against the County. He alleged the County unlawfully removed him from his job as bailiff and placed him on an unpaid leave of absence because of its incorrect assessment that he could not safely perform his duties as a bailiff even with reasonable accommodation. The trial court issued a jury instruction that the County intended to discriminate against him based on his disability. Plaintiff challenged this instruction on appeal, and the Fifth District reversed. The court concluded that plaintiff is not required to prove that the employer’s adverse employment action was motivated by animosity or ill will against the employee. CSAC is supporting Stanislaus County’s petition for Supreme Court review.
The Institute for Local Government (ILG) is the research and education affiliate of the California State Association of Counties, League of California Cities and the California Special Districts Association. ILG promotes good government at the local level with practical, impartial and easy-to-use resources for California communities. Our resources on ethics and transparency, local government basics, public engagement, sustainable communities and collaboration and partnerships are available at www.ca-ilg.org.

**Highlights**

- ILG has developed new resources on collaborations, economic development and creating healthy communities (see details below).
- ILG continues to support local governments’ governance and financial management needs through new resources and trainings (see details below).
- With summer around the corner, counties and cities are partnering with libraries in their community to provide Lunch at the Library Programs. Find out how your county can get involved below.
- The Beacon Program has added six new participants since February including American Canyon, Emeryville, West Hollywood, Richmond, Downey and Whittier, bringing the total number of participants to 83.
- The Irvine Foundation has invited ILG to submit a $300,000 grant proposal to support inclusive public engagement at the local level.
- The Stuart Foundation provided ILG with a planning grant to explore expansion of the Governments Engaging Youth Program.
- ILG has welcomed five new partners in 2016 including: Murphy Austin, Placeworks, Rev, Tripepi Smith and Madaffer Enterprises.

**Understanding the Basics of Local Government Revenues**

One of an elected official’s most important responsibilities is oversight of agency finances. In an effort to assist local officials with this important duty, in 2013, ILG updated the resource “Understanding the Basics of County and City Revenues.” Local governments provide essential services including public safety (police, fire and emergency services), parks and recreation,
roads, flood protection, sewers, water, refuse disposal, recycling and other utilities. This resource explains how counties and cities pay for such services and facilities through a variety of revenues.

At the March 18th meeting, the Board engaged in a conversation to assist ILG’s efforts to revise the revenue resource guide, including the essential addition of special districts. ILG will be working with consultant Michael Coleman to update and revise this resource. We will share more as we finalize the updated version.

Lunch at the Library

Lunch at the Library Programs focus on keeping children healthy, fed and engaged when school is out. The California Summer Meal Coalition and California Library Association are working with libraries statewide to offer summer meals and programming designed to nourish participants and help prevent summer learning loss for children and teens in low-income communities. These programs do more than just provide meals. Lunch at the Library sites also:

- Welcome guest readers, which have included U.S. Rep. Mark DeSaulnier and Police Chief Lisa Rosales;
- Work with local recycling agency staff (who brought refurbished blenders) to teach teens how to make healthy smoothies;
- Distribute back-to-school supplies and books; and
- Provide nutrition education, such as container gardening and how to make healthy snacks.

For more information about summer meal programs and how your county can get involved, visit www.ca-ilg.org/california-summer-meal-coalition or www.lunchatthelibrary.org.

Effective Governance

At the League of California Cities’ February 19th meeting, Martin Gonzalez and Kevin Duggan with Cal-ICMA engaged the League Board in a discussion about effective local governance, including the attributes of an effective council and effective city managers. ILG continues to collect data on this topic and will share draft findings and best practices.

Martin recently met with the State Treasurers’ Office to discuss their proposed trainings for local elected officials on financial management topics including: debt financing, bonds, fiduciary responsibility and benchmarking, among others. ILG hopes to join the collaborative (that includes the League, CSAC and CSDA) to help inform the development of the training program and the curriculum.

CCS Partnership

The leaders of CCS Partnership met on April 22nd in Sacramento. The board had a robust conversation around youth civic engagement and education programs and opportunities. Presenters included Councilmember Jay Schenirer of the City of Sacramento, Superintendent David W. Gordon with the Sacramento County Office of Education and Bina Lefkovitz, founder
of Summer at City Hall and Youth Development Network. CCS Partnership will convene again in October.

New Articles and Resources

- “Planning for Healthy and Sustainable Communities: National City Offers a Model” highlights the comprehensive planning approach National City used to address community environmental and health concerns ([www.ca-ilg.org/resource/planning-healthy-and-sustainable-communities](http://www.ca-ilg.org/resource/planning-healthy-and-sustainable-communities)).
- ILG hosted a webinar “Improving Community Wellness through Collaboration” that provided examples of how collaboration and working together can help stretch resources to develop healthier neighborhoods and improve community wellness ([www.ca-ilg.org/webinar/improving-community-wellness-through-collaboration](http://www.ca-ilg.org/webinar/improving-community-wellness-through-collaboration)).
- “San Pablo Demonstrates Its Commitment to Creating a Healthy Community” provides an overview of how San Pablo is addressing the high childhood obesity rates in their community ([www.westerncity.com/Western-City/April-2016/San-Pablo-Demonstrates-Its-Commitment-to-Creating-a-Healthy-Community/](http://www.westerncity.com/Western-City/April-2016/San-Pablo-Demonstrates-Its-Commitment-to-Creating-a-Healthy-Community/)).
- “Generating a Prosperous Future” discusses how local leaders are finding that by creating agencies that are more sustainable, they can help their communities succeed, flourish and thrive— in other words, become more prosperous. Appeared in the March/April 2016 issue of CA Special District.
- “Sustainability Offers a Path to Prosperity” discusses the economic development benefits of implementing sustainability policies and practices ([www.westerncity.com/Western-City/May-2016/Sustainability-Offers-a-Path-to-Prosperity/](http://www.westerncity.com/Western-City/May-2016/Sustainability-Offers-a-Path-to-Prosperity/)).

Recent Workshops and Trainings

- In February, ILG hosted an Immigrant Integration convening for cities and counties in the San Mateo and Santa Clara region.
- In March, the Institute facilitated a workshop for the Eastern Sierra Council of Governments (ESCOG) using the Stretching Community Dollars Guidebook as a framework to discuss how best to collaborate and prioritize issues.
- ILG presented at Gateway Cities Regional Assistance Workshop for Cap and Trade Funds in Paramount in collaboration with the Gateway Cities Council of Governments, SCAG, SoCal Edison and several state agencies.
- ILG facilitated a Planning Commissioners Training in Stockton.
- In March, ILG worked with CSDA to plan two webinars on ethics topics: “Completing Your Statement of Economic Interest – Form 700” and “Conflicts of Interest and When One Must Step Aside.”
- ILG continues to work with the City of Palo Alto to provide ethics training to all full time city employees.
Board of Directors

The ILG Board met on March 18th to discuss updating the Basics of City and County Revenues (mentioned above), ILG’s immigrant integration and summer meal work and finalize the 2015 audit and 990.

The remaining 2016 ILG Board of Directors meetings will take place:

- Friday, May 20th (Sacramento)
- Thursday and Friday, August 25-26th (Oakland)
- Friday, November 18th (Sacramento)
May 19, 2016

To: CSAC Officers
CSAC Board of Directors

From: Graham Knaus, Deputy Executive Director of Operations and
Member Services

RE: IRS form 990 for the 2015 tax year.

The Form 990 is required by the IRS to be filed annually by nonprofit mutual
benefit corporations including CSAC. The intent of the Form 990 is for the IRS to
collect information about activities, revenues, and expenses to ensure continued
status as a tax-exempt entity.

The Form 990 is completed annually and submitted to the Executive Committee
for final approval. For the 2015 tax year, the form was approved by the Executive
Committee on April 7, 2016 and is now provided to the Board as an informational
item.

The sale of the Ransohoff building in November 2014 resulted in an adjustment to
the tax basis that will likely eliminate CSAC’s tax liability for a number of years.
Another key note, due to the adjustment, CSAC will be receiving a combined
federal and state refund for a total of $37,000.

In addition to the tax components of the Form 990, CSAC is required to state the
average weekly hours of the Board, Executive Committee and officers for the time
they devote to the organization. Reported weekly hours have changed in the last
couple of years to better reflect the investment of time by Officers, the Executive
Committee, and the Board and currently reflect the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 tax year</th>
<th>2014 tax year</th>
<th>2013 tax year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>President</td>
<td>8 hours</td>
<td>8 hours</td>
<td>8 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Officers</td>
<td>8 hours</td>
<td>8 hours</td>
<td>.5 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive Committee</td>
<td>1.5 hours</td>
<td>.5 hours</td>
<td>.5 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board</td>
<td>.5 hours</td>
<td>.5 hours</td>
<td>.5 hours</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Form 990
Return of Organization Exempt From Income Tax
Under section 501(c), 527, or 4947(a)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code (except private foundations)

Do not enter social security numbers on this form as it may be made public.
Information about Form 990 and its instructions is at www.irs.gov/form990.

A  For the 2014 calendar year, or tax year beginning 7/01/2014, and ending 6/30/2015
B  Check if applicable:
   □ Address change
   □ Name change
   □ Initial return
   □ Total return/terminated
   □ Amended return
   □ Application pending
C  County Supervisors Association of Calif.
   1100 K Street #101
   Sacramento, CA 95814
D  Employer identification number
   94-60000551
E  Telephone number
   916-327-7500
F  Name and address of principal officer:
   Matt Cate
Same As C Above
G  Gross receipts $ 15,639,050.
H(a) Is this a group return for subordinates? □ Yes □ No
H(b) Are all subordinates included? □ Yes □ No
   If 'No,' attach a list. (see instructions)
I  Website: www.csac.counties.org
J  Form of organization: □ Corporation   □ Trust   □ Association   □ Other   □
K  Year of organization formation: 1911
L  State of legal domicile: CA

Part I Summary
1  Briefly describe the organization's mission or most significant activities: To represent county government before the California Legislature, administrative agencies and the Federal Government.

2  Check this box □ if the organization discontinued its operations or disposed of more than 25% of its net assets.
3  Number of voting members of the governing body (Part VI, line 1a) 60
4  Number of independent voting members of the governing body (Part VI, line 1b) 60
5  Total number of individuals employed in calendar year 2014 (Part V, line 2a) 98
6  Total number of volunteers (estimate if necessary) 61
7a Total unrelated business revenue from Part VIII, column (C), line 12 257,100
7b Net unrelated business taxable income from Form 990-T, line 34 -552,011
8  Contributions and grants (Part VIII, line 1h) 3,300,000
9  Program service revenue (Part VIII, line 2g) 5,006,872
10 Investment income (Part VIII, column (A), lines 3, 4, and 7d) 15,320
11 Other revenue (Part VIII, column (A), lines 5, 6d, 8c, 9c, 10c, and 11e) 225,082
12 Total revenue – add lines 8 through 11 (must equal Part VIII, column (A), line 12) 8,547,274
13 Grants and similar amounts paid (Part IX, column (A), lines 1-3) 105,000
14 Benefits paid to or for members (Part IX, column (A), line 4) 5,756,469
15 Salaries, other compensation, employee benefits (Part IX, column (A), lines 5-10) 6,047,420
16a Professional fundraising fees (Part IX, column (A), line 11e) 8,598,975
16b Total fundraising expenses (Part IX, column (D), line 25) 2,446,555
17 Other expenses (Part IX, column (A), lines 11a-11d, 11f-24e) 8,305,828
18 Total expenses. Add lines 13-17 (must equal Part IX, column (A), line 25) 8,598,975
19 Revenue less expenses. Subtract line 18 from line 12 -656,626

Beginning of Current Year 8,461,003
End of Year 8,156,470
20 Total assets (Part X, line 16) 5,014,661
21 Total liabilities (Part X, line 26) 4,053,502
22 Net assets or fund balances. Subtract line 21 from line 20 941,159

Part II Signature Block
Under penalties of perjury, I declare that I have examined this return, including accompanying schedules and statements, and to the best of my knowledge and belief, it is true, correct, and complete. Declaration of preparer (other than officer) is based on all information of which preparer has any knowledge.

Sign Here

TAXPAYER'S COPY

Date

Executive Director

Paid Preparer Use Only

Firm's name □ John Waddell & Co., CPAs
Firm's address 3416 American River Drive #A
Sacramento, CA 95864

Check □ self-employed
PTIN P00052634

Phone no. 916-488-2450

May the IRS discuss this return with the preparer shown above? (see instructions) □ Yes □ No

BAA For Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the separate instructions.

TEEA0113L 05/28/14 Form 990 (2014)
Form 990-T

Exempt Organization Business Income Tax Return
(and proxy tax under section 6033(e))

For calendar year 2014 or other tax year beginning 7/01, 2014, and ending 6/30, 2015

Information about Form 990-T and its instructions is available at www.irs.gov/form990t.

Do not enter SSN numbers on this form as it may be made public if your organization is a 501(c)(3).

Print or Type

County Supervisors Association of Calif.
1100 K Street #101
Sacramento, CA 95814

Employer identification number (Employees' trust, see instructions.)
94-6000551

Unrelated business activity codes (See instructions.)
E 541200 531120

Employer identification number (Employees' trust, see instructions.)

Check organization type.

501(c) corporation
501(c) trust
401(a) trust
Other trust

Book value of all assets at end of year
8,156,470

Group exemption number (See instructions.)

Check if name changed.

See Statement 1

Payroll services

If "Yes," enter the name and identifying number of the parent corporation...

The books are in care of Kelli Oropeza
Telephone number 916 327-7500

Part I: Unrelated Trade or Business Income

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>(A) Income</th>
<th>(B) Expenses</th>
<th>(C) Net</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 a Gross receipts or sales...</td>
<td>1c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Less returns and allowances...</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Gross profit. Subtract line 2 from line 1c</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 a Capital gain net income (attach Schedule D)...</td>
<td>4a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 b Net gain (loss) (Form 4797, Part II, line 17) (attach Form 4797)...</td>
<td>4b</td>
<td>-517,342</td>
<td>-517,342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 c Capital loss deduction for trusts...</td>
<td>4c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Income (loss) from partnerships and S corporations (attach statement)...</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Rent income (Schedule C)...</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Unrelated debt-financed income (Schedule E)...</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>60,764</td>
<td>136,036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Interest, annuities, royalties, and rents from controlled organizations (Schedule F)...</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Investment income of a section 501(c)(7), (9), or (17) organization (Schedule G)...</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Exploited exempt activity income (Schedule I)...</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Advertising income (Schedule J)...</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Other income (See instructions; attach schedule)...</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>407,459</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Total. Combine lines 3 through 12...</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-49,119</td>
<td>136,036</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part II: Deductions Not Taken Elsewhere (See instructions for limitations on deductions.) (Except for contributions, deductions must be directly connected with the unrelated business income.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>(A) Income</th>
<th>(B) Expenses</th>
<th>(C) Net</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14 Compensation of officers, directors, and trustees (Schedule K)...</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Salaries and wages...</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>138,783</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Repairs and maintenance...</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>22,998</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 Bad debts...</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 Interest (attach schedule)...</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 Taxes and licenses...</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>447</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Charitable contributions (See instructions for limitation rules)...</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 Depreciation (attach Form 4562)...</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>39,789</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 Less depreciation claimed on Schedule A and elsewhere on return...</td>
<td>22a</td>
<td>39,789</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 Depletion...</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 Contributions to deferred compensation plans...</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 Employee benefit programs...</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>108,154</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 Excess exempt expenses (Schedule I)...</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 Excess readership costs (Schedule J)...</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 Other deductions (attach schedule)...</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td>96,474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 Total deductions. Add lines 14 through 28...</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td>366,856</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Unrelated business taxable income before net operating loss deduction. Subtract line 29 from line 13...</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td>-552,011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 Net operating loss deduction (limited to the amount on line 30)...</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 Unrelated business taxable income before specific deduction. Subtract line 31 from line 30...</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>-552,011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 Specific deduction (Generally $1,000, but see line 33 instructions for exceptions)...</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34 Unrelated business taxable income. Subtract line 33 from line 32. If line 33 is greater than line 32, enter the smaller of zero or line 32...</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>-552,011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BAA For Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see instructions.

TCEAC2014 09/16/14 Form 990-T (2014)
Part III: Tax Computation

35 Organizations Taxable as Corporations. See instructions for tax computation.
Controlled group members (sections 1561 and 1563) check here □ See instructions and:
(a) Enter your share of the $50,000, $25,000, and $9,925,000 taxable income brackets (in that order):
(1) $ □ (2) $ □ (3) $ □
(b) Enter organization's share of: (1) Additional 5% tax (not more than $11,750) $ □
(2) Additional 3% tax (not more than $100,000) $ □
(c) Income tax on the amount on line 34. □ 35c □ 0.
36 Trusts Taxable at Trust Rates. See instructions for tax computation. Income tax on the amount
on line 34 from: □ Tax rate schedule or □ Schedule D (Form 1041).
37 Proxy tax. See instructions.
38 Alternative minimum tax.
39 Total. Add lines 37 and 38 to line 35c or 36, whichever applies.

Part IV: Tax and Payments

40a Foreign tax credit (corporations attach Form 1118; trusts attach Form 1116) □
40b Other credits (see instructions) □
40c General business credit. Attach Form 3800 (see instructions) □
40d Credit for prior year minimum tax (attach Form 8801 or 8827) □
40e Total credits. Add lines 40a through 40d. □ 40e □ 0.
41 Subtract line 40e from line 39 □ 41 □ 0.
42 Other taxes. Check if from: □ Form 4255 □ Form 8611 □ Form 8697 □ Form 8866
☐ Other (attach schedule) □ 42
43 Total tax. Add lines 41 and 42 □ 43 □ 0.
44a Payments: A 2013 overpayment credited to 2014 □ 22,710.
44b 2014 estimated tax payments.
44c Tax deposited with Form 8863.
44d Foreign organizations: Tax paid or withheld at source (see instructions) □
44e Backup withholding (see instructions) □
44f Credit for small employer health insurance premiums (Attach Form 8941) □
44g Other credits and payments: □ Form 2439 □ Form 4136 Total □ 44g □
45 Total payments. Add lines 44a through 44g □ 45 □ 22,710.
46 Estimated tax penalty (see instructions). Check if Form 2220 is attached □ 46
47 Tax due. If line 45 is less than the total of lines 43 and 46, enter amount owed □ 47
48 Overpayment. If line 45 is larger than the total of lines 43 and 46, enter amount overpaid □ 48
49 Enter the amount of line 48 you want: Credited to 2015 estimated tax □ Refunded □ 49 □ 22,710.

Part V: Statements Regarding Certain Activities and Other Information (see instructions)

1 At any time during the 2014 calendar year, did the organization have an interest in or a signature or other authority over a
financial account (bank, securities, or other) in a foreign country? If YES, the organization may have to file FinCEN Form 114,
Report of Foreign Bank and Financial Accounts. If YES, enter the name of the foreign country here □ □ X
2 During the tax year, did the organization receive a distribution from, or was it the grantor of, or transferor to, a foreign trust?.
□ YES, see instructions for other forms the organization may have to file.
3 Enter the amount of tax-exempt interest received or accrued during the tax year □ $ □ 0.

Schedule A - Cost of Goods Sold. Enter method of inventory valuation □

1 Inventory at beginning of year □
2 Purchases □
3 Cost of labor □
4a Additional section 263A costs (attach schedule) □
4b Other costs (attach sch) □
5 Total. Add lines 1 through 4b □ 5
6 Inventory at end of year □
7 Cost of goods sold. Subtract line 6 from line 5. Enter here and in Part I, line 2 □ 7
8 Do the rules of section 263A (with respect to properly produced or acquired for resale) apply to the organization? □ YES □ NO □ X

Under penalties of perjury, I declare that I have examined this return, including accompanying schedules and statements, and to the best of my knowledge and belief, it is true, correct, and complete. Declaration of preparer (other than taxpayer) is based on all information of which preparer has any knowledge.

Paid Preparer Use Only

PrinType preparer's name □ Debbie McCord, Ask, C.P.A. □
Preparer's signature □ Debbie McCord, Ask, C.P.A. □
Date □ 11/14/14
Check □ if self-employed □ P01052634
PTIN

Firm's name □ John Waddell & Co., CPAs □
Firm's address □ 3416 American River Drive, #A □ Sacramento, CA 95864
Phone no. □ 916-488-2450

Signature of officer □
Date □

Executive Director □
Title □

May the IRS discuss this return with the preparer shown below (see instructions)? □ YES □ NO □
# 2016 CSAC Board of Directors
## Calendar of Events

### January
- **6** Urban Counties of California (UCC) Board Conference Call
- **13** CSAC Executive Committee Orientation Dinner, Sacramento
- **14** CSAC Executive Committee Meeting, Sacramento
- **20** Rural County Representatives of California (RCRC) Board Meeting & Installation of Officers Reception, Sacramento

### February
- **3** Urban Counties of California (UCC) Board Conference Call
- **10-12** CSAC Premier Corporate Partner Forum, San Diego County
- **18** CSAC Board of Directors Meeting, Sacramento
  - 10:00am – 1:30pm, Masonic Hall, 1123 J St, 3rd Floor, Sacramento
- **20-24** NACo Legislative Conference, Washington, D.C.

### March
- **2** Urban Counties of California (UCC) Board Conference Call
- **16** Rural County Representatives of California (RCRC) Board Meeting, Sacramento
- **24** CSAC Regional Meeting, Shasta County

### April
- **6** Urban Counties of California (UCC) Board Conference Call
- **7** CSAC Executive Committee Meeting, Los Angeles County
- **20-21** Rural County Representatives of California (RCRC) Board Meeting, Glenn County
- **27-29** CSAC Finance Corporation Board Meeting, Riverside County

### May
- **18** Urban Counties of California (UCC) Board Meeting, Sacramento
- **18-19** CSAC Legislative Conference, Sacramento Convention Center/ Hyatt Regency
- **19** CSAC Board of Directors Meeting, Sacramento
  - 12:30pm – 4:00pm, Hyatt Regency B-C, 1209 L Street, Sacramento
- **25-27** NACo Western Interstate Region Conference, Jackson Hole, Wyoming

### June
- **16** CSAC Regional Meeting, Amador County
- **22** Rural County Representatives of California (RCRC) Board Meeting, Sacramento

### July
- **6** Urban Counties of California (UCC) Board Conference Call
- **22-25** NACo Annual Meeting, Los Angeles County/Long Beach

### August
- **3** Urban Counties of California (UCC) Board Conference Call
- **4** CSAC Executive Committee Meeting, Sacramento
- **17** Rural County Representatives of California (RCRC) Board Meeting, Sacramento

### September
- **1** CSAC Board of Directors Meeting, Sacramento
  - 10:00am – 1:30pm, Sutter Club, 1220 9th Street, Sacramento
- **7** Urban Counties of California (UCC) Board Conference Call
- **14-16** CSAC Finance Corporation Board Meeting, Santa Barbara County
- **28-30** Rural County Representatives of California (RCRC) Annual Meeting, Placer County

### October
- **5** Urban Counties of California (UCC) Board Conference Call
- **5-7** CSAC Executive Committee Retreat, Ventura County
November - December
29-2  CSAC 122nd Annual Meeting, Palm Springs, Riverside County
30  Urban Counties of California (UCC) Board Meeting, Palm Springs, Riverside County

December
1  CSAC Board of Directors Meeting, Palm Springs, Riverside County
   2:00pm – 4:00pm, Palm Springs Convention Center, 277 N Avenida Caballeros, Palm Springs
7  Rural County Representatives of California (RCRC) Board Meeting, Sacramento
14-16  CSAC Officers’ Retreat, Napa County

As of 4/12/16